

## Appendix: Schedule of Main Modifications

The Schedule of Main Modifications should be read in conjunction with the Making Spaces for Growing Places (MSGP) Submission Plan. The page, policy and paragraph numbers in the schedule refer to the Submission Plan. The schedule is structured in the same order as the Submission Plan:

- The **Modification No.** column identifies the specific main modification reference number;
- The **Page** column identifies the page number of the Submission Plan that the main modification relates to;
- The **Policy/Paragraph/Figure/Appendix** column identifies the relevant part of the Submission Plan;
- The **Main Modification** column details the modification to text, diagrams and tables. The text proposed to be deleted is struck through, while text proposed to be added is underlined.

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification
MM01	7	Paragraph 2.9	MSGP forms Part 3 of our Local Plan and the application of <u>contains only non-strategic</u> of policies and proposals, in MSGP will <u>supplements and supports</u> objectives and <u>strategic and non-strategic</u> policies as set out in the CSUCP. MSGP provides a clear and positive framework for development in Gateshead. It sets out detailed, <u>non-strategic</u> planning policies on a range of issues, and categories of development, which will guide applicants and the location of development, and form the basis for decisions on planning applications.
MM02	16	Policy MSGP1	<b>MSGP1 Employment land supply</b> Sites with capacity for 31.57 hectares of net developable employment land are allocated for B1, B2 and B8 uses, as <u>identified in Appendix 1, and</u> represented on the Policies Map. A minimum supply of 24 hectares of deliverable employment land, capable of meeting quantitative and qualitative needs over a rolling five-year period will be maintained for B1, B2 and B8 uses.
MM03	16	Policy MSGP2, introductory text	Team Valley Trading Estate and Follingsby Park, <u>as shown on the Policies Map,</u> are our Key Employment Areas which make a significant contribution to the economy of Gateshead, and to the wider region. Their continued success will be supported by ensuring the provision and availability of a suitable range of land and premises.
MM04	17	Policy MSGP3, introductory text	The ability of Gateshead's <del>other</del> <u>Main Employment Areas and Local Employment Areas, as shown on the Policies Map,</u> to provide a range of land and premises capable of accommodating the needs of new and existing businesses operating within B1b, B1c, B2 and B8 uses will be supported. Main Employment Areas are identified at:
MM05	18	Policy MSGP4	<b>MSGP4 Loss of employment land</b> 1. Within Key Employment Areas development or change of use proposals for uses other than those set out in MSGP2 will not be permitted unless: a) The site is not currently occupied, and there is evidence of unsuccessful marketing for employment use <del>with at least one recognised commercial agent</del> at local market rental levels <u>in accordance with the requirements set out in Appendix 2,</u> over a continuous period of at least 30 months, and; b) The proposed use would not negatively affect the activities of other businesses within the Key Employment Area, and; c) The proposed use would not detract from the industrial character of the Key Employment Area, and; d) The proposed development would not prejudice the future development of employment uses in the area, and; e) The proposed development would not adversely affect the availability of a sufficient variety and quantity of employment land / premises necessary to accommodate short-term growth within the Key Employment Area 2. Within Main Employment Areas development or change of use proposals for uses other than those set out in MSGP3 will not be permitted unless: a) The site is not currently occupied, and there is evidence of unsuccessful marketing for employment use <del>with at least one recognised commercial agent</del> at local market rental levels <u>in accordance with the requirements set out in Appendix 2,</u> over a continuous period of at least 24 months, and; b) The proposed use would not negatively affect the activities of other businesses operating within the Main Employment Area, and; c) The proposed development would not prejudice the future development of employment uses in the area. 3. Exceptions may be made for development and change of use proposals that seek to: a) Provide complementary supporting retail/food and drink uses (A1, A2, A3, A4 and A5), creches, nurseries and gyms, with internal floor area of no more than 200sqm, where it can be demonstrated that there is a local need arising from workers at the Employment Area that cannot be satisfied by existing nearby facilities, and where the proposal would not negatively affect the activities of nearby businesses operating within B1, B2 or B8 uses b) Provide non-residential education or training centres, where providing such a facility within the Employment Area would be compatible with the activities of nearby businesses and there is an operational need for locating there.

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification
MM06	19	Paragraph 4.8	This flexibility allows for changing market conditions, and the re-use of some sites where there is no reasonable prospect of them being occupied for industrial uses. <u>Criteria 1a and 2a of MSGP4 require evidence of unsuccessful marketing before non-employment uses may be considered within Key and Main Employment Areas (excluding the potential exceptions set out in criteria 3a and 3b of the policy). Appendix 2 provides more detail on the evidence required.</u>
MM07	19	Additional paragraph after paragraph 4.9	<u>Employment Areas may present physical constraints to development such as flood risk, transport access, or noise / air quality issues associated with industrial uses, which non-employment uses may be more vulnerable to. It is therefore relevant to note that proposals for non-employment uses within employment areas will, through the Development Management process, be considered against the relevant provisions of the NPPF and other policies contained within the Local Plan.</u>
MM08	20	Policy MSGP6 and paragraphs 4.13 and 4.14	<b><del>MSGP6 Targeted recruitment and training requirements</del></b> <del>Targeted recruitment and training will be required to be delivered on all major developments, where appropriate, during the construction and occupation of development.</del>  <del>4.13 This policy will help deliver CSUCP policy CS5 by improving skills and access for local people to job opportunities. The requirement for considering the need for targeted recruitment and training provision will apply to all major planning applications as defined in the Town and Country Planning (Development Management) Order 2010), but excluding housing proposals for 100 or fewer units.</del>  <del>4.14 As set out in the Planning Obligations Supplementary Planning Document targeted recruitment and training will be delivered through a Training and Employment Management Plan or through a developer's existing recruitment programme.</del>
MM09	20	Policy MSGP7	<b>MSGP7 Retail and other uses in centres</b> In considering proposals for changes of use from retail to other uses within District and Local Centres, <u>as shown on the Policies Map</u> , particular regard will be had to the effect the loss of A1 retail units would have on the vitality and viability of the centre. Any proposal for a non-retail use should not dominate or fragment the centre.
MM10	21	New paragraph after paragraph 4.18	<u>The leisure industry is dynamic, and it is important to ensure that leisure proposals (as defined within Annex 2 of the NPPF) can be accommodated in appropriate locations without significant adverse impacts. For proposals outside of the Urban Core, District and Local Centres, and not in accordance with the up to date Local Plan, a proportionate assessment of impacts for proposed development over the locally defined threshold within Part 2 of Policy MSGP8 should be provided. This should assess the implications of the development on relevant defined centres within Gateshead's retail hierarchy, with the scope, scale and approach of such an assessment to be agreed with the local planning authority prior to the submission of any planning application.</u>
MM11	21	New paragraph after paragraph 4.20	<u>Early engagement with digital infrastructure providers can ensure new developments provide the physical infrastructure needed to access high speed broadband and telecommunications infrastructure. All proposals for new dwellings and new business premises will be required to demonstrate that engagement has taken place with more than one digital infrastructure provider to explore how digital communication networks can be integrated into the development. The requirements of policy MSGP9 will be satisfied by the submission of a statement (required either at application stage or through a planning condition) explaining the outcome of this engagement. The Council will publish additional guidance to assist developers in ensuring that digital connectivity is enabled through new development.</u>
MM12	22	Policy MSGP10	<b>MSGP10 Housing sites allocation</b> Provision is made for <u>approximately 2,789 homes</u> <del>104.17 hectares (gross) of housing land supply</del> over the plan period, specified in Appendix 2.
MM13	22	Policy MSGP11	<b>MSGP11 Accessible and adaptable dwellings</b> On housing developments of 15 or more dwellings 25% of dwellings will be constructed to meet the Building Regulation M4(2) Category 2: Accessible and Adaptable Dwellings standard or equivalent successor standards.
MM14	22	Paragraphs 5.3 and 5.4	5.3 Accessible and adaptable dwellings are required for households of any age that experience disability, <u>or</u> frailty, or <u>who</u> need homes that can accommodate intergenerational living. Such dwellings are also well suited for people looking for retirement living accommodation which in turn will lead to the release of existing family homes onto the market. The provision of level access bungalows and level access flats/apartments, that promote and maintain people's independence is particularly likely to capture demand from these groups. <u>The specific measures incorporated into</u>

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification
			<p>schemes will need to demonstrate compliance with the relevant accessible and adaptable standard. Where step-free access is not feasible or viable for site specific reasons, such as on steeply sloping land or stair accessed apartments, the requirements of this policy will not be applied.</p> <p>5.4 The Council encourages proposals for bungalows and apartment/ flats to go beyond the minimum policy requirements set out above. Provision of greater than 25% of M4(2) provision on site will be encouraged by the Council in view of local needs evidence.</p>
MM15	23	Paragraph 5.5	<p>There are a number of groups within the community who have specific accommodation needs, including older people, people with physical or mental ill-health, and other vulnerabilities. Their accommodation requirements will need to be met by increasing the provision and range of specialist and supported accommodation available which will promote and maintain people's independence and increase choice. <u>Where deemed appropriate by the Council, the use of planning conditions and obligations will be implemented as a means of safeguarding against the loss of new provision of specialist and supported accommodation and care schemes, or any change in the approved use that would undermine the wider policy objectives of the Plan.</u></p>
MM16	23	Paragraph 5.8	<p>In Gateshead some new residential developments are not meeting the Nationally Described Space Standards. Therefore, the introduction of these requirements will ensure that new homes can accommodate a basic set of furniture, fittings, activity and circulation space. These standards will be introduced one year after the adoption of the Plan to allow for a period of transition <u>in accordance with national planning guidance. The standards will not be applied retrospectively to those applications for reserved matters where the outline permission was determined or is subject to a resolution to grant permission (including subject to planning obligations) before the end of the transitional period.</u></p>
MM17	23	Policy MSGP14 and paragraph 5.9	<p><b><del>MSGP14 Housing density</del></b>  <del>New housing developments will have a net density of at least 20 dwellings per hectare, unless there is evidence of a shortage of lower density housing to meet demand or there are over-riding townscape, heritage or amenity considerations including a lower density.</del></p> <p><del>5.9 The borough has a potential shortage of housing land to meet need and sites formerly in the Green Belt have had to be allocated through the CSUCP. A low density threshold has been set to ensure that sites contribute to a reasonable extent to meeting need and there is not a further shortage during the plan period resulting from the failure to use land efficiently. A flexible approach may be required depending on the site, its location, the character and design of the locality, and the nature of the local housing market.</del></p>
MM18	23	Policy MSGP15	<p><b>MSGP15 Student housing schemes</b>  Student housing schemes will be acceptable:  1) Within Gateshead's <u>Centre Urban Core (as defined in the Core Strategy and Urban Core Plan for Gateshead and Newcastle upon Tyne)</u>; or  2) Within reasonable walking distance of the relevant higher education institution; or  3) Close to good public transport routes serving the higher education institution.</p>
MM19	25	Policy MSGP16	<p><b>MSGP16 Mitigating the impacts of development on the transport network</b>  Where a development is likely to have a significant impact on the transport network, its acceptability will need to be demonstrated by:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Transport Assessment in line with the scope agreed with the Planning Authority including, but not limited to: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. an assessment of the impact of the proposals on traffic movements and highway safety;</li> <li>b. an assessment of the accessibility of the site by sustainable modes; and</li> <li>c. an action plan setting out how and when issues highlighted by the accessibility and transport assessments will be addressed.</li> </ol> </li> <li>2) A travel plan for all users of the development which includes provision for <u>its the continuing development of the plan over time</u>, and the setting and monitoring of targets for sustainable travel use.</li> </ol> <p>A Transport Statement will be required where a development is likely to have a lesser impact on the transport network. Developments subject to a Transport Statement may still require a Travel Plan</p>
MM20	25	Policy MSGP17	<p><b>MSGP17 Transport aspects of the design of new development</b>  New development will be required to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) secure safe access to the site for all people and provide adequate servicing facilities;</li> </ol>

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification
			<p>2) not have an adverse <u>unacceptable</u> impact on the safe operation of the transport network, or a severe residual <u>cumulative</u> impact on the efficient operation of the road network, or levels of congestion;</p> <p>3) give priority to access by sustainable travel including the improvement of existing links and the removal of barriers to sustainable means of access and;</p> <p>4) protect, and where necessary, enhance the public right of way and cycle networks. Where it is necessary to disturb either network, suitable replacement sections of route will be required; and</p> <p>5) provide the level of parking necessary to secure the safe and effective operation of the development by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. providing car parking for residential development to the levels set out in the Council's parking standards (Appendix 3a)</li> <li>b. limiting car parking for non-residential development in line with the Council's parking standards (Appendix 3b)</li> <li>c. providing electric vehicle charging points in line with the Council's parking standards (Appendices 3a &amp; 3b)</li> <li>d. providing motorcycle parking facilities in line with the Council's parking standards (Appendix 3b)</li> <li>e. providing cycle parking facilities in line with the standards set out in the Council's cycle parking standards (Appendix 4)</li> </ul>
MM21	26	Policy MSGP18	<p><b>MSGP18 Safeguarded land for transport improvements</b>  Development on land safeguarded for the following transport improvements (identified on the Policies Map), will be permitted where it does not threaten or hinder the project:</p> <p>MSGP18.1 Sunderland Road Link  MSGP18.2 Eighton Lodge Park &amp; Ride  MSGP18.3 Follingsby Park &amp; Ride  MSGP18.4 Dunston Hill Park &amp; Ride  MSGP18.5 A1 Birtley to Coalhouse  <del>MSGP18.6 Heworth Roundabout Improvements</del>  MSGP18.7 <del>MSGP18.6</del> Leamside Line  MSGP18.8 <del>MSGP 18.7</del> Mill Road/Hawks Road Car Park</p>
MM22	28	MSGP19 and paragraph 7.1	<p><b>MSGP19 Residential amenity</b>  Development will be required to provide a high-quality environment and a good standard of amenity for existing and future occupants of land and buildings. Planning permission will be granted for new development where it:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) does not have an <u>unacceptable</u> <del>adverse</del> impact on amenity or character of an area, and does not cause unacceptable disturbance to <del>nearby residents</del>, through an increase in noise, disturbance, traffic and parking congestion, smells, fumes or other harmful effects, or conflict with other adjoining uses;</li> <li>2) safeguards the enjoyment of light, <u>outlook</u> and privacy <del>for existing residential properties</del>; and</li> <li>3) ensures a high quality of design and amenity <del>for existing and future residents</del>.</li> </ul> <p>7.1 A key objective of the CSUCP is to provide the opportunity for a high quality of life for everyone and to enhance the wellbeing of people to reduce inequalities. To achieve this objective CSUCP Policy CS14 requires development to prevent any negative impacts upon residential amenity. Policy MSGP19 sets out in further detail the range of issues which will be taken into consideration when determining if a proposal would impact upon residential amenity <del>for existing and/or future residents</del>. <u>The proximity of new residential development to existing permitted facilities will also be considered in terms of potential impacts.</u> In circumstances where the type or degree of change resulting from a proposal would have an <u>unacceptable</u> <del>significant</del> <del>adverse</del> impact on residential amenity, permission will normally be refused.</p>
MM23	29	Paragraphs 7.3 and 7.4	<p>7.3 New noise-sensitive development including houses, hospitals and schools will not be permitted where high levels of ambient noise are present unless satisfactory reductions in noise levels can be achieved through appropriate mitigation measures. In assessing new noise-sensitive development, reference will be made to <u>'BS8233:2014 Guidance on sound insulation and noise reductions for buildings'</u> and <u>'BS4142:2014 Methods for rating and assessing industrial and commercial sound'</u> <del>BS 8233: 1999 'Sound Insulation and Noise Reduction for Buildings - Code of Practice'</del> and <del>BS 4142: 1997 'Method for rating industrial noise affecting mixed residential and industrial areas'</del>.</p>

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification
			<p>7.4 For new noise-generating development, guidance has been derived from <u>BS 4142: 2014</u> <del>bs-4142 (1997)</del> on what might be acceptable noise levels. This is based on the potential adverse impact of the noise levels. A rating noise level with an exceedance over existing background noise levels of around 10dB(A) is likely to result in a significant adverse impact depending on the context of this noise. <del>on the level of noise likely to result in complaints. The maximum exceedance over previous background noise levels that is usually tolerated before complaints are likely is around 10dB(A).</del> The noise impact assessment should outline the potential sources of noise generation and how these may have a negative effect on health and quality of life. The assessment should also outline how noise levels approaching 10dB(A) or more will be managed to bring them within acceptable levels. Each application will be considered on a site-by-site basis and advice will be sought from the Council's Environmental Health Team. The validation list for planning applications on Tyneside sets out further information on noise assessment requirements.</p>
MM24	29	Paragraph 7.6	<p>The policy also addresses new polluting developments that give rise to significant additional emissions of air pollutants or new sensitive developments near to existing polluting developments. In these circumstances an Air Quality Impact Assessment will be required. This should outline both the significance of the air quality impact and measures that can be taken to reduce the air quality impact to a suitable level. <u>Guidance on the requirement for an air quality impact assessment can be found in the validation list of planning applications in Tyneside and the guidance document 'Land Use Planning &amp; Development Control: Planning for Air Quality' (EPUK/IAQM).</u> <del>The validation list for planning applications on Tyneside sets out further information on air quality assessment requirements.</del></p>
MM25	30	Paragraph 7.8	<p>Government guidance recognises land contamination and unstable land as a material planning consideration and that the development phase is the most cost-effective time to deal with the problem. Where contamination and/or land instability is identified as a planning consideration a Preliminary Land Contamination Assessment (often called a Preliminary Risk Assessment or PRA), and/or a Coal Mining Risk Assessment (or equivalent report), will need to be submitted with a planning application, in accordance with the Tyne and Wear Validation requirements and in the context of relevant national and local planning policy. Primarily it is the applicants/developer's responsibility to ensure that the development is safe and the site is suitable for its proposed use, whilst it is the Local Planning Authority's duty to ensure that the developer undertakes this assessment and implements any remedial requirements in a responsible and effective manner. For sites that are "potentially contaminated" reference should be made to <u>the Environment Agency's technical guidance for land contamination, and the guidance contained in the Environment Agency document "Land contamination: risk management" (or any successor guidance)</u> <del>the CLR-11 Model procedures for the Management of Contaminated Land should be followed.</del> As set out in the NPPF after remediation, as a minimum, land should not be capable of being determined as contaminated land under Part IIA of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 (paragraph 178b).</p>
MM26	30-31	Paragraphs 7.9 - 7.11	<p>7.9 Certain sites and pipelines are designated as major hazards by virtue of the quantities of hazardous substances present. The siting of such installations will be subject to planning controls, for example under <u>The Planning (Hazardous Substances) (Amendment) (England) Regulations 2009/2015</u> which are aimed at keeping these installations separate from housing and other land uses which might be incompatible from the safety viewpoint. In accordance with <u>the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) Department for Communities and Local Government Circular 04/00</u>, the local authority will consult the Health and Safety Executive (HSE), as appropriate, about the siting of any major hazard installations. <u>Applicants can identify the consultation zones at the HSE website. A list of hazardous installations in the Borough is set out in Appendix 5.</u></p> <p><del>7.10 Certain sites and pipelines are designated as major hazards by virtue of the quantities of hazardous substances present. The siting of such installations will be subject to planning controls, for example under The Planning (Hazardous Substances) (Amendment) (England) Regulations 2009 which are aimed at keeping these installations separate from housing and other land uses which might be incompatible from the safety viewpoint. In accordance with Department for Communities and Local Government Circular 04/00, the local authority will consult the Health and Safety Executive, as appropriate, about the siting of any major hazard installations.</del></p> <p>7.11 The area covered by this Plan already contains a number of hazard sites and pipelines. Whilst they are subject to stringent controls under existing health and safety legislation, it is prudent to control the kinds of development permitted in the vicinity of these installations. For this reason, the local planning authority has been advised by the <u>Health and Safety Executive HSE</u> of consultation zones for each major hazard site and pipeline. In determining whether or not to grant planning permission for a proposed development within these consultation zones, the local planning authority will consult with the <u>Health and Safety Executive HSE</u> about risks to the proposed development from the major hazards in accordance with <u>the PPG. Circular 04/00.</u></p>
MM27	31	New policy and paragraphs of supporting text to	<p><b><u>MSGPXX Aircraft Safety</u></b>  <u>Development that would have a demonstrable unacceptable impact on the operational integrity or safety of aircraft in the aerodrome safeguarding area, as shown on the interactive policies map, will not be permitted unless appropriate mitigation is secured.</u></p>

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification
		be inserted after paragraph 7.11	<p><i>New paragraph:</i> <u>This policy seeks to address safety issues related to the airport's location near to an urban area and complements the aerodrome safeguarding process set out in the Town and Country Planning (Safeguarded Aerodromes) Direction 2002. Its purpose is to ensure the airport's operation and development is not inhibited by buildings, structures, or erections; that runway approach lights are not obscured; and that the performance of aerodrome navigation aids, radio aids or telecommunication systems is not impaired. Newcastle International Airport Limited is the statutory safeguarding consultee for developments within its aerodrome area (broadly a 13km area around the airport). This boundary is shown on the interactive policies map on the Council's website. The Aerodrome Safeguarding Area is broken into different zones of risk which are also shown on the interactive policies map. It should be noted that details of this map are subject to potential change by Newcastle International Airport. The airport, Ministry of Defence and National Air Traffic Services and any other relevant bodies will be consulted on planning applications within the aerodrome safeguarded area.</u></p> <p><i>New paragraph:</i> <u>Safeguarding covers a range of issues for example, developments which could increase the risk of bird strikes, such as open water, landscaping and buildings that provide areas for roosting. The use of lighting could be distracting to pilots due to luminance or the arrangement which could be mistaken for airfield lighting. The use of highly reflective building materials could cause glint/glare for pilots or have radar absorbing or reflective characteristics. Tall structures could cause a collision hazard or interfere with navigation equipment or result in building induced turbulence. Beyond the 13km safeguarding zone, wind farms can also impact on radar and navigation equipment and the impact of any proposals would need to be assessed. Any mitigation strategy will also need to consider any cumulative impact as well as individual scheme impacts. It should be noted that not all development described above will be unsuitable in the safeguarded area. Certain development could have wider environmental and social benefits which should be taken into account, in consultation with the airport.</u></p>
MM28	31	Policy MSGP24, introductory text	A high level of importance must be given to the design of development within, or affecting the setting of, the following Areas of Special Character <u>as shown on the Policies Map</u> - development will maintain or enhance the character of the area and inappropriate development will be resisted:
MM29	32	Policy MSGP25	<p><b>MSGP25 Design quality</b></p> <p>1) The design quality of proposals will be assessed with regard to the following criteria:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. The proposal's compatibility with local character including relationship to existing townscape and frontages, scale, height, massing, proportions and form;</li> <li>b. Layout and access;</li> <li>c. Space between buildings and relationship to the public realm;</li> <li>d. Detailing and materials, <del>and</del>;</li> <li>e. The use of a high-quality landscaping scheme, structural landscaping and boundary treatment to enhance the setting of any development; <del>and</del></li> <li>f. <del>The incorporation of living roofs and walls.</del></li> </ol> <p>2) Particular regard will be given to design solutions proposed for sites within the Tyne Gorge, and adjoining, or having a significant visual impact on, the following key routeways, <u>as shown on the Policies Map</u>:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. A1 Corridor</li> <li>b. Durham Road</li> <li>c. Old Durham Road / Gateshead Highway</li> <li>d. Felling By Pass / Gateshead Highway / Askew Road / A184</li> <li>e. Metro line</li> <li>f. <del>East Coast / Durham Coast Rail Line</del></li> </ol>
MM30	33	Policy MSGP26, criterion 2	2. Development within the setting of a heritage asset will not be permitted if the development: dominates the asset or its setting in scale, massing, materials, or as a result of siting; <u>or is otherwise harmful to its significance.</u>
MM31	34	Paragraph 7.17	<del>MSGP26 provides a positive strategy for the conservation and enhancement of the Historic Environment by focusing on the individual heritage assets and their significance as a basis for informing change. The Council's positive strategy for the conservation and enhancement of the Historic Environment is provided by the whole plan document in conjunction with the CSUCP. MSGP26 provides a specific focus for the individual heritage assets, and for informing change based on an understanding of their significance. Alterations should be informed by the significance of the heritage asset, which may include historic fabric or architectural detailing and, where possible, should seek to retain such fabric and detailing. Where possible, alterations should be reversible. However, the reversibility of an alteration does not provide justification for those works which are considered to be harmful to the significance of the heritage asset, and will not be permitted unless it can be demonstrated that</del>

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification
			there is significant overriding public benefit. Restoration or the reinstatement of lost elements of the design should be informed by evidence to avoid speculative restoration. In the absence of clear evidence, a contemporary approach which respects the significance of the heritage asset, but which is entirely of its own time, would be supported.
MM32	35	Policy MSGP27, MSGP27.5 Gibside	<p><b>MSGP27.5 Gibside</b> Development will be supported where it sustains and enhances the significance of the Gibside Estate, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) the restoration or consolidation of existing buildings;</li> <li>b) contributing to the restoration of the eighteenth century planned landscape;</li> <li>c) the enhancement of the visitor understanding of the Estate through improved <u>visitor facilities</u>, public access and interpretation; or</li> <li>d) strengthening links between the Estate and the adjacent Derwent Valley.</li> </ul>
MM33	36	Policy MSGP28	<p><b>MSGP28 Archaeology</b> Development will be required to sustain, conserve and, where appropriate, enhance the significance of the borough's archaeological legacy, which includes the banks of the River Tyne, evidence of early mining and coal transport, the prehistoric development of the hills overlooking the Tyne and the Roman settlement which is now the Town Centre. Development will be informed by archaeological investigative assessment and, where appropriate, fieldwork to determine the extent and significance of remains; and either</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) ensure that nationally significant remains (either Scheduled Ancient Monuments or remains of equal significance) are preserved in situ – development must be designed in such a way that remains are not damaged <u>unless wholly exceptional circumstances apply</u>; or</li> <li>2) ensure that, where justified, archaeological remains of less than national significance are preserved in situ, <u>unless it is demonstrated that there are public benefits which outweigh any harm or loss caused by their removal</u>. Where preservation in situ is not justified, provision will be made archaeological excavation, recording and publication at a level proportionate to the significance of the heritage asset. The findings of archaeological research which is required in connection with development will be deposited with the Tyne and Wear Historic Environment Record or the Tyne and Wear Archives.</li> </ul>
MM34	37	Paragraph 7.22	Archaeological recording and publication, through the <u>Tyne and Wear Historic Environment Record</u> , <u>Tyne and Wear Archives</u> or other means, provides public benefit in a number of ways. It ensures that the historic legacy of the borough is documented for research, for educational purposes and as a tool which facilitates access to the wider Historic Environment through interpretation.
MM35	37	Paragraph 7.23	Other receptors include the natural environment; the historic environment; residents; water infrastructure; transport infrastructure and waste infrastructure. This policy must be read in conjunction with the other relevant policies within the Local Plan to ensure that all the potential impacts, <u>including cumulative impacts</u> , and benefits of the development are assessed. Renewable and low carbon energy development in the Green Belt may be permitted in very special circumstances where benefits clearly outweigh any harm to the purposes of the Green Belt. Such benefits could include, for example, a high level of carbon savings or the development of an exemplary innovative scheme.
MM36	37	New paragraph after paragraph 7.24	<u>Mitigation required to make development acceptable will be considered through the planning application process on a case by case basis. This could be through pre-application discussions, the planning application process or through planning conditions. Statutory consultees, including Newcastle International Airport, will be consulted as appropriate to advise on mitigation measures required and ensure there would be no unacceptable adverse impact resulting from development.</u>
MM37	37	Policy MSGP30, criterion 1	<p><b>MSGP30 Flood risk management</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The extent and impact of flooding will be reduced by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. not culverting, <del>or</del> building over, <u>creating structures or crossings in, or altering watercourses in a manner which may harm the physical environment, ecology, or hydrology of the watercourse</u> wherever practicable; and</li> <li>b. encouraging catchment management through the removal of existing culverts and other hard engineering structures and <u>the introduction of natural flood management measures</u> including river restoration, appropriate tree planting, upstream flood storage and wetland habitat creation.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification
MM38	38 - 39	Policy MSGP31, criterion 4 and paragraphs 7.27 – 7.29	<p>4) Development adjacent to, over or in a watercourse should consider opportunities to improve the river environment and water quality, particularly within the Stanley Burn, Blaydon Burn, the River Don, the River Tyne, the River Team and the River Derwent catchments by:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Naturalising watercourse channels;</li> <li>b. Improving the biodiversity and ecological connectivity of watercourses;</li> <li>c. Safeguarding and enlarging river buffers with appropriate habitat; and</li> <li>d. Mitigating diffuse agricultural and urban pollution;</li> <li>e. Ensuring that all drainage of new development is connected correctly;</li> <li>f. Seeking opportunities to incorporate creation of wetland habitat in designs where appropriate;</li> <li>g. Ensuring that development does not fragment the wildlife corridor;</li> <li>h. Preventing introduction of non-native species via construction or other works and managing present invasive non-native species where practical;</li> <li>i. <u>Achieving sustainable river crossings.</u></li> </ol> <p>7.27 The Council supports the aims of the Water Framework Directive to protect and enhance the quality of the borough’s surface freshwater areas, rivers, groundwater and wetlands reflecting the Northumbrian River Basin Management Plan. Surface water run-off from urban areas and transport infrastructure can contain a range of contaminants including sewage, metals, sediment and other substances. Surface water run-off from new development that discharges into any watercourse must incorporate pollution control measures to reduce potential for diffuse pollution and sedimentation to ensure that there is not detrimental impact on the water environment. SuDS and green infrastructure can help to prevent pollution by filtration of surface water run-off, improving the water quality of watercourses. Transport infrastructure can have a major impact on our watercourses through physical modifications and can adversely impact geomorphology and highway run off can impact on water quality. Highway run-off can contain a range of contaminants including metals, hydrocarbons, road salt and sediments that can discharge to a water body. Any transport infrastructure work should incorporate pollution control measures to reduce the impact of these pollutants on the water environment. <u>New developments should avoid the use of culverts in order to facilitate natural flow and morphology and free passage of riparian and aquatic fauna.</u></p> <p>7.28 <u>Physical modifications to watercourses such as weirs, outfalls and alterations to the channel are not desirable options, given their negative affect on Water Framework Directive (WFD) classifications, that restrict natural processes and fish migration. However, should it be demonstrated that there are no alternative options, a water quality assessment would be required to assess the impact of physical alterations to watercourses.</u> Water quality assessments will <u>also</u> be required for development which <del>will result in a physical modification to a watercourse e.g. outfalls, weirs, alterations to channels, or could</del> indirectly adversely affects surface water or groundwater e.g. contamination, mineral workings, and waste management facilities. Water quality assessments should consider the likely impacts on water quality and mitigation required to avoid harm to water bodies in accordance with the <u>forthcoming Flood Risk, Surface Water Management and Water Quality SPD</u>, which is in preparation. The hydrogeological regime in Gateshead is complex given the legacy of coal mining, controlled mine water levels, and rising groundwater levels. Infiltration SuDS in some areas of the borough could have a detrimental impact on the amount and quality of water entering mine workings resulting in increased mine water pollution, groundwater flood risk, and pressure on pumping infrastructure. Deep borehole drainage is therefore not permitted. Flood risk and drainage impact assessments must consider current and future groundwater levels and interaction with SuDS in accordance with the <u>forthcoming Flood Risk, Surface Water Management and Water Quality SPD</u> and the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment. Development where there is a hydraulic connection to mine workings (i.e. link with a mine entry and pathway created by any SuDS, particularly infiltration SuDS) must follow the guidance to ensure development does not exacerbate mine water pollution. <u>New development with SuDS must have maintenance arrangements in place to ensure an acceptable standard of operation for the lifetime of the development, supported by a SuDS maintenance plan.</u></p> <p>7.29 Stanley Burn, Blaydon Burn, the River Don, the River Tyne, the River Team and the River Derwent are ‘failing’ water bodies under the Water Framework Directive; therefore, it is important that new development within these catchments within 200m of a watercourse consider opportunities to improve <u>river morphology</u>, river water quality and the capacity of surface waters to support wildlife.</p>
MM39	41	New paragraph after paragraph 7.32	<p><u>Figure 7.1 identifies Opportunity Areas and Gaps in the Network that relate to criterion 4 of MSGP33. However, some Opportunity Areas will be addressed by other policies in Gateshead’s Local Plan. The Gateshead Town Centre Opportunity Area is addressed within the CSUCP policy UC15, while the forthcoming MetroGreen Area Action Plan will provide a policy framework to protect and enhance Green Infrastructure at the Opportunity Area. Policy MSGP36 seeks to restore, protect and enhance land at Wardley Manor Opportunity Area.</u></p>

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification
MM40	42	Policy MSGP35, introductory text	<p><b>MSGP35 Development in settlements within the Green Belt</b>            Infilling development in the envelopes of the following settlements within the Green Belt, <u>as shown on the Policies Map and identified in Appendix 10</u>, will be permitted where it is in keeping with the scale and character of the settlement concerned:</p>
MM41	42	Paragraph MSGP36	<p><b>MSGP36 Proposed Wardley Manor Country Park</b>            Land at Wardley/Red Barns, <u>as identified on the Policies Map</u>, will be further restored, enhanced and protected from inappropriate development, to form the Wardley Manor Country Park.</p>
MM42	42	Paragraph 7.37	<p>This significance has long been recognised, with Wardley/Red Barns being identified as a 'gateway to the countryside' by the Great North Forest project in 1995. However, the fact that the site is complex and in multi-ownership, with conflicting land uses, has impeded progress. <u>The size of the proposed Country Park has been amended to reflect that planning permission has been granted for residential development on part of it.</u></p>
MM43	43	Paragraph 7.38	<p>Woodland, trees and hedgerows make a vital contribution to the landscape and environmental quality of the borough. They also deliver important social and economic benefits, and help combat the effects of climate change. In particular, targeted large-scale woodland creation can help reduce flood risk and deliver water quality improvements. <u>Tree and woodland planting should be sympathetic to the local ecology, topography and landscape character, incorporating predominantly locally native tree species where appropriate and taking account of future resilience.</u></p>
MM44	44	Policy MSGP38	<p><b>MSGP38 Biodiversity and geodiversity</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Where appropriate, development proposals must demonstrate how they will:           <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) avoid/minimise adverse impacts on biodiversity and geodiversity in accordance with the mitigation hierarchy; and</li> <li>b) provide net gains in biodiversity.</li> </ol> </li> <li>2. Where development which is likely to adversely affect biodiversity and/or geodiversity is to be approved, the Council will require planning conditions and/or obligations to secure the provision, maintenance and monitoring of appropriate mitigation, <del>and/or</del> <u>compensation and/or enhancement</u> measures.</li> <li>3. Proposals for development or land use that would adversely affect a Site of Special Scientific Interest, <u>as shown on the Policies Map</u>, either directly or indirectly, will only be permitted where the reasons for the development, including the lack of an alternative solution, clearly outweigh the nature conservation value of the site and the national policy to safeguard the national network of such sites.</li> <li>4. Proposals for development or land use that would adversely affect a Local Wildlife Site or Local Geological Site, <u>as shown on the Policies Map</u>, either directly or indirectly, will only be permitted where:           <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) the developer can demonstrate that there are no reasonable alternatives; and</li> <li>b) the case for development clearly outweighs the need to safeguard the intrinsic value of the site.</li> </ol> </li> <li>5. Proposals for development or land use that would adversely affect the ecological, recreational and/or educational value of a Local Nature Reserve, <u>as shown on the Policies Map</u>, will only be permitted where:           <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) the developer can demonstrate that there are no reasonable alternatives; and</li> <li>b) the case for development clearly outweighs the need to safeguard the ecological, recreational and/or educational value of the site.</li> </ol> </li> <li>6. Development proposals that would have a significant adverse impact on the value and integrity of a Wildlife Corridor, <u>as shown on the Policies Map</u>, will only be permitted where suitable replacement land, or other mitigation, is provided to retain, and where possible enhance, the value and integrity of the corridor.</li> </ol>
MM45	44	New paragraph after paragraph 7.40	<p><u>The policy reflects the mitigation hierarchy as set out in the NPPF (paragraph 175) which states that when determining planning applications, local planning authorities should apply the following principles: a) if significant harm to biodiversity resulting from a development cannot be avoided (through locating on an alternative site with less harmful impacts), adequately mitigated, or, as a last resort, compensated for, then planning permission should be refused. The wording of criteria 3, 4 &amp; 5 distinguishes between the hierarchy of international, national and locally designated sites in accordance with paragraph 171 of the NPPF.</u></p>

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification
MM46	45	New paragraph after paragraph 7.42	<p><u>New development should be laid out and landscaped so as to be beneficial to wildlife. Proposals should avoid the use of non-native or inappropriate species in sensitive locations and where there is evidence of non-native species that are invasive to existing habitats, these should be removed. Land management practices beneficial to wildlife will be encouraged in line with local and national biodiversity targets.</u></p>
MM47	45	Paragraphs 7.43 and 7.44, and new paragraph after paragraph 7.44	<p><del>7.43 Substantial parts of the Keelman’s Way riverside route have already been created, but significant gaps in access remain, particularly along sections of the river bank in private ownership where development has not yet taken place. The Tyne Estuary extends from the tidal limit at Wylam to the sea at Tynemouth, including the tidal reaches of the River Team and River Derwent. In Gateshead the estuary incorporates a linear complex of intertidal habitats including saltmarsh, rocky foreshore and extensive mudflats. These habitats and the river support regionally important populations of wintering waterfowl and wading bird species, otter and various fish species including eel, salmon and brown trout. The estuary forms an integral component of the River Tyne Wildlife Corridor and is designated as a Local Wildlife Site. Tidal flats can also help reduce the impacts of storm surge events, flooding and sea level rise. Aligned with DEFRA's '25 year Environment Plan', the Council is a member of the Tyne Estuary Partnership. A vision document for the Tyne Estuary has been prepared to support its future protection and enhancement. The estuary and the habitats and species it supports are at risk from a range of factors including built development, changes in land-use and pollution. In accordance with policies MSGP38 and MSGP39, development proposals must demonstrate how they will avoid/minimise negative impacts on the Tyne Estuary, and where impacts on biodiversity are unavoidable; appropriate mitigation, compensation and enhancement measures must be provided.</del></p> <p>7.44 The wintering wading bird population on the Tyne is regionally significant, but susceptible to disturbance. Public access to the riverside needs to be carefully designed, and where required, screened and buffered to ensure protection of its ecological value whilst affording views of the river. Any works within 8 metres of the river are also likely to require a flood risk permit from the Environment Agency. <u>In addition, the Marine Management Organisation (MMO) will deliver UK marine policy objectives for English waters through statutory Marine Plans and other measures. The River Tyne is within the North East Marine Plan area and a marine plan for this area is under development. Until a Marine Plan has been published, the UK Marine Policy Statement should be used for guidance and licensing on any planning activity that includes a section of coastline or tidal river.</u></p> <p><u>New paragraph: Substantial parts of the Keelman’s Way riverside route have already been created, but significant gaps in access remain, particularly along sections of the river bank in private ownership where development has not yet taken place. The precise width of land to be safeguarded will be determined on the basis of what is appropriate in the context of development proposals for the site.</u></p>
MM48	45 - 47	Policy MSGP40 and paragraph 7.45	<p><b>MSGP40 Protecting valuable open space, sports and recreation facilities</b></p> <p>Open space, sports and recreation facilities will be protected to ensure they continue to meet quantitative and qualitative needs, and to optimise the multifunctional benefits they can provide.</p> <p>Development or change of use proposals that would result in the loss of open space, sports and recreation facilities will only be considered acceptable if the site can be demonstrated to be surplus to requirements, or if the loss would be replaced by equivalent or better provision in terms of quantity and quality in a suitable location. The Council will consider open space, <u>sports and recreation facilities</u> to be surplus if the following requirements can be met:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Areas of <del>recreational</del> open space will be considered surplus if their loss through development would not infringe the relevant standards set out in Appendix 17, in accordance with the following approach: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Sites which, following appraisal, are considered to be of 'high quality' when compared against the benchmark quality standard will not be considered surplus. Sites which attain a quality score between the relevant 'high quality' and 'low quality' benchmarks may only be considered surplus if both quantity and accessibility standards are satisfied. Sites which attain a quality score below the relevant 'low quality' benchmark may be considered surplus if accessibility and/or quantity standards are also satisfied.</li> <li>b. Sites which are not situated within 250m of <del>another area of</del> a similar area of <del>recreational</del> open space may only be considered surplus if both quality and quantity standards are satisfied. Sites which are situated within 250m of a similar area of <del>recreational</del> open space may be considered surplus if quality and/or quantity standards are also satisfied.</li> <li>c. Open space within wards with less than 2.06ha of <del>recreational</del> open space per 1,000 residents may only be considered surplus if both quality and accessibility standards are satisfied. Open space within wards with more than 2.06ha of <del>recreational</del> open space per 1,000 residents may be considered surplus if quality and/or accessibility standards are also satisfied.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification
			<p>2- Sports facilities may be considered surplus if development of the site <del>is deemed</del> <u>would be</u> compatible with the Council's up-to-date Playing Pitch Strategy or Built Sports Facilities Strategy. However, <u>sports facilities will be safeguarded against built development if their conversion to freely accessible open space could realistically contribute to remedying deficiencies in the quantity and accessibility of open space, when considered against the standards set out in Appendix 17.</u> <del>the loss of sports facilities and green spaces which are not freely accessible to the public will not normally be acceptable if, by being made available for public use, the site could contribute to remedying local deficiencies in recreational open space against quantitative or accessibility standards.</del></p> <p>3. Allotments may be considered surplus if there is evidence the site in question cannot be tenanted in the long term, despite being available at reasonable rents. In this event, the site will be safeguarded against built development if its conversion to <del>recreational</del> open space could realistically contribute to remedying deficiencies in the quantity and accessibility of <del>recreational</del> open space, when considered against the standards set out in Appendix 17.</p> <p>4. Accessible Natural Greenspace will be considered surplus if:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The site in question provides no public value, and there is no prospect that its value could be improved to a reasonable standard; and,</li> <li>The site is not a designated wildlife site, and it does not provide important biodiversity value, either on its own or in combination with other sites; and,</li> <li>The site would be incapable of contributing to meeting a shortfall in the provision of another type of open space.</li> </ol> <p>5. <u>Recreational buildings or land may be considered surplus if an assessment of the site's use clearly demonstrates that it is not needed to meet current or anticipated needs. In this event, the site will be safeguarded against built development if its conversion to open space could realistically contribute to remedying deficiencies in the quantity and accessibility of open space, when considered against the standards set out in Appendix 17.</u></p> <p>7.45 Policy MSGP40 seeks to establish a transparent and objective approach that provides protection for those sites which are required to meet quantitative and qualitative needs, while allowing potential for the development of open land that can reasonably be regarded as surplus to needs. The approach to assessing proposals that would result in the loss of <del>recreational</del> open spaces seeks to balance quantitative and qualitative measures of a site's value, avoiding excessive emphasis on one element of the standards defined in Appendix 17. Informed by the findings of the Open Space Assessment (OSA), the policy and accompanying standards seek to protect those sites which can be demonstrated to be of high quality, while allowing potential for poor quality sites to be considered surplus. Sites that are not situated within 250m of an open space site which provides similar recreational value are likely to provide important facilities that contribute to meeting residents' needs for open space. Accordingly, sites which are not situated within 250m of another area of open space are less likely to be regarded as surplus. A quantity standard of 2.06ha of <del>recreational</del> open space per 1,000 residents is considered to represent an appropriate minimum level of provision required to meet residents' needs for open space, as informed by findings from the OSA.</p>
MM49	47	New paragraph after paragraph 7.46	<u>For practical purposes, open space sites smaller than one hectare in size are not shown on the policies map.</u>
MM50	47 - 48	Policy MSGP41, paragraphs 7.47 - 7.49	<p><b>MSGP41 Providing and enhancing open space, sports and recreation facilities</b></p> <p>New development will ensure that the provision of open space, <u>sports and recreation facilities</u> is able to meet identified needs. This will be achieved by:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>New housing developments of 10 dwellings or more will be expected to provide: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>At least the equivalent of <del>2.06</del> <u>1.99</u>ha of <del>recreational</del> <u>public</u> open space per 1,000 anticipated residents on sites of at least 0.05ha in size, unless the proposed development site is located within an area where the <u>relevant</u> quantitative and accessibility standards of Appendix 17 are, and would continue to be exceeded.</li> <li>Play facilities for children and young people, to the equivalent of 0.07ha per 1,000 <u>anticipated</u> residents, unless the <u>proposed development site in question is situated within 500m of at least one existing play facility, and there are no significant barriers between</u></li> </ol> </li> </ol>

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification
			<p><del>the facility and the proposed development site located within an area where the relevant quantitative and accessibility standards of Appendix 17 are, and would continue to be exceeded.</del></p> <p>c. Sports facilities in accordance with the requirements of the Council's up-to-date Playing Pitch Strategy and Built Sports Facilities Strategy.</p> <p><del>2. In addition to the requirements of criterion 1, housing development providing 100 or more dwellings will be expected to make on-site provision for at least one play facility for children and young people, with the equivalent of at least 0.07ha per 1,000 anticipated residents.</del></p> <p><del>3. 2. New</del> Open space will be expected to be provided on-site, unless it can be demonstrated that it would not be feasible to do so. Where <del>the provision of additional recreational open space is needed to meet the requirements of criterion 1, but it would not be feasible for these requirements of criterion 1 to be met in full through on-site provision, consideration may be given to the potential for off-site contributions towards the provision of new recreational open space in locations which can be accessed by the proposed development site. If it can also be demonstrated that it would not be feasible to provide, new recreational open space in a location that is accessible from the proposed development site, consideration may be given for off-site contributions towards the enhancement of existing areas of recreational open space which would increase their recreational and/or amenity value.</del></p> <p>4. <del>3.</del> Where new recreational open space is created or enhanced, it will be expected to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Be appropriately designed and located to be safe and accessible, and optimise its recreational and amenity value for residents;</li> <li>Optimise the multifunctional benefits that open space can provide, including contributing towards flood risk management where SuDS features can reasonably be integrated;</li> <li>Take opportunities to improve the connectivity, integrity and accessibility of the Strategic Green Infrastructure Network; and</li> <li>Include a suitable programme of maintenance for at least 20 years after the completion of the development.</li> </ol> <p>4. <u>Provision of new or enhanced recreation facilities will be supported where doing so would contribute towards meeting local needs.</u></p> <p>7.47 The increase in population usually associated with new residential development can increase demand for existing open space, sport and recreational facilities. However, new development also provides an opportunity to increase the number, size, and/or quality of sites, so that facilities are better able to meet the needs of residents and visitors. Policy MSGP41 provides a framework that will be used to identify where new development will be expected to contribute to the provision of open space, sports and recreational facilities, and how much provision will be required.</p> <p>7.48 Policy MSGP41 makes clear that on-site provision of open space is the Council's preferred approach to meeting open space requirements. On-site provision allows greater potential for the multifunctional benefits of open space to make a positive contribution to new developments in Gateshead, and is also more likely to minimise the distances residents need to travel to access an area of recreational open space. Where it is appropriate to secure contributions towards the offset provision, or enhancement of open spaces, sport and/or recreational facilities, the value of contributions will be equivalent to the cost of providing and maintaining a quantity of new open space capable of meeting the needs of the anticipated increase in population associated with the proposed residential development.</p> <p>7.49 Due to the densely developed character of some parts of Gateshead, there are some locations where off-site contributions may not allow the delivery of an appropriate quantity of new open space on sites that can be accessed from the proposed development site. In such circumstances, policy MSGP41 allows for contributions to be secured that would allow improvements to existing areas of recreational open space. The Council will consider the accessibility standard of Appendix 17 when determining whether an open space site can be considered to be accessible from the proposed development site.</p>
MM51	49	Policy MSGP43 and paragraph 7.51	<p><del>MSGP43-Saltwell School Site</del> Land at Avenue Road, Shipcote, is allocated for educational purposes</p> <p><del>7.51 The proposal is to develop a new build Gibside School delivering special education for children aged 3 to 11 with autistic spectrum disorders (ASD) and severe learning difficulties as well as those with other complex communication and medical needs. The new school will be built on the old Saltwell School site (off Prince Consort Road) providing teaching accommodation for 170 pupils. The building scheduled for</del></p>

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification
			<del>completion in winter 2020, will include hydrotherapy facilities and it is proposed will be serviced by the Council's District Energy Heating System.</del>
MM52	50	New paragraphs before Policy MSGP46	<p>Minerals can only be worked where they are found subject to the potential environmental impacts of extraction. National planning guidance <u>advises it is important to safeguard resources and plan for a steady and adequate supply of aggregates and industrial minerals, including landbanks of permitted reserves of at least 7 years for sand and gravel and 10 years for crushed rock. In doing this Gateshead cooperates with other mineral planning authorities in the north east of England through the North East Region Aggregates Working Party, and in the preparation of an annual Joint Local Aggregates Assessment (LAA) to assess options and forecast future demand for aggregates in this area.</u></p> <p><u>Based on the latest LAA (2018) the sub-regional requirement for Tyne and Wear is to contribute approximately 3.7 million tonnes of sand and gravel and 5.8 million tonnes of crushed rock for the period to 2017-2032. The LAA confirms a surplus of permitted reserves at existing mineral sites in Tyne and Wear for both sand and gravel and crushed rock for this period, exceeding minimum landbank requirements. There will be a need for additional sites to come forward in the future to maintain supply, subject to relevant Local Plan policies. In terms of industrial minerals, a 25-year stock of permitted reserves of brick clay is available from Birtley Quarry located in County Durham which supplies the adjacent Union Brickworks located in Gateshead.</u></p>
MM53	50	Policy MSGP46, criterion 1	<p><b>MSGP46 Environmental impacts – minerals and waste</b></p> <p>1. The environmental impact of any proposal for mineral extraction or a waste management facility will be assessed individually and cumulatively, taking into account the availability of appropriate protective or mitigation measures, with regard to its effect on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Local amenity and health based on the scale and likely duration of the operation, visual and landscape impact, dust emissions, air quality, odours, vermin and pests, noise levels, blasting, traffic and road safety, days and hours of working and other potential disturbances;</li> <li>b) Flood risk, drainage and the quality and quantity of water, including surface and groundwater;</li> <li>c) The natural, geological and historic environment, including features of archaeological importance;</li> <li>d) Nationally or locally designated wildlife sites, protected species and their habitats;</li> <li>e) The best and most versatile agricultural land;</li> <li>f) Contamination, mining subsidence and land stability; <del>and</del></li> <li>g) <u>Climate change; and</u></li> <li>h) Other potential receptors</li> </ul>
MM54	50	Paragraph 8.1	<p>Mineral extraction and landfill operations, and waste disposal and treatment operations, <del>may affect</del> <del>will usually disturb</del> the amenity of an area through factors such as noise, dust and visual intrusion. <u>These effects may be mitigated using recognised mitigation measures.</u> These factors will be taken into account, individually and cumulatively, at both a site-specific and the wider zone of influence level, when assessing a proposal. The zone of influence of a site is that area which would experience impacts from any mineral or waste activity on that site. The effects may be physical or visual and may affect those permanently living in the zone of influence, people who spend only some of their time there or people who are simply passing through. <del>The cumulative result of a proposal could be an unacceptable level of continuous or nearly continuous suffering. Thus, although the suffering caused by a single development might not be sufficient to warrant refusal, it could become unacceptable when taken together with suffering from other relevant mineral or waste disposal activities in the same area.</del> The zone of influence concept is of major importance in Gateshead where mineral extraction and waste disposal operations have been long established and have affected large areas in the past, particularly in the west of the borough.</p>
MM55	51	Paragraph 8.3	<p>The period of the minimum five-year breathing space between schemes in the same zone of influence will be measured from the time of the return and spreading of topsoil, subsoil and soil-making material of the first scheme, to the start of soil stripping on the second. Over-riding material considerations <del>may would</del> apply where it is proven that <u>there is an over-riding need for extraction to take place to contribute to wider supply needs and to ensure a sufficient landbank of permitted reserves during and beyond the plan period; and/or it is advantageous to work schemes continuously or nearly continuously, for example in order to reduce the overall impact on surrounding communities, avoid the sterilisation of deposits, expedite the reclamation of derelict land, or achieve other community benefits.</u></p>
MM56	51	Policy MSGP47	<p><b>MSGP47 Minerals and waste development: noise</b></p> <p>Proposals for mineral and waste developments will be permitted in appropriate circumstances where the operator can demonstrate that noise levels at specifically identified noise-sensitive properties will not exceed:</p>

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification
			<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Existing background levels by more than 10dB(A) subject to a maximum of 55dB LAeq,1h (freefield during normal daytime hours (07.00 – 19.00 hours))</li> <li>2) Existing background levels by <u>more than 10dB(A) or more in the evening (19.00-22.00 hours)</u> subject to a maximum of <u>55dB(A) 42dB LAeq,1h (free field) at night-time during the evening (19.00-22.00 hours)</u></li> <li>3) <u>A maximum of 42dB(A) LAeq,1h (free field) at night-time (22.00-07.00)</u></li> </ol>
MM57	52	Paragraph 8.6	Acceptable noise levels will vary in accordance with the existing noise climate around the proposed site and the time of day. In addition, there may be instances when particularly noisy short-term activities, for example soil stripping or the construction of baffle mounds, exceed noise limits for normal operations. This can be acceptable for temporary periods to attain specific long-term environmental benefits. <del>In assessing noise levels in relation to new developments, the Council will have regard to preservation of tranquil areas identified as a national resource by the National Noise Strategy.</del>
MM58	52	Policy MSGP48	<p><b>MSGP48 Quarry reclamation and restoration</b>  <u>The following quarry sites, as shown on the Policies Map, will be reclaimed and restored for nature conservation and amenity after-use, including public access where appropriate. The proposed landform and after-uses will be reviewed progressively by the Council during the life of each individual site.</u></p> <p><b>MSGP48.1 Blaydon Quarry: biodiversity/amenity</b>  Blaydon Quarry is allocated for landfill and will be reclaimed by filling with imported, off-site waste material and / or quarry spoil to produce a visually acceptable landform, <del>compatible with the appropriate after-uses listed. The proposed landform and the after-uses will be reviewed progressively by the Council during the life of the individual site.</del></p> <p><b>MSGP48.2 Path Head Quarry: biodiversity/amenity</b>  Following cessation of landfilling operations in 2017, the Path Head Quarry site will be restored to a visually acceptable landform, <del>compatible with the appropriate after-uses listed.</del></p> <p><b>MSGP48.3 Crawcrook Quarry South and Central: <del>principally for biodiversity/amenity</del></b>  Crawcrook Quarry (South and Central) is allocated for ongoing reclamation and restoration utilising construction, demolition and excavation waste materials, addressing stability issues and ensuring that a visually acceptable landform <del>compatible with the appropriate after-uses listed</del> is provided.</p> <p><b>MSGP48.4 Crawcrook Quarry North: biodiversity/amenity</b>  Following cessation of mineral extraction operations, Crawcrook Quarry North will continue to be restored to a visually acceptable landform, <del>compatible with the appropriate after-uses listed.</del></p> <p>Permission will not be granted for further landfill sites during the plan period, other than the provision made by this policy, unless there are exceptional circumstances. Landraising of greenfield sites for waste disposal purposes will not be permitted.</p> <p>Applicants for new landfill sites are required to submit a risk assessment on the potential impact of the proposal on vulnerable groundwater. Proposals for landfill or landraising will not be permitted below the water table in any strata where groundwater provides an important contribution to river flow or other sensitive surface waters, unless the risk assessment demonstrates that active long-term site management is not essential to prevent groundwater pollution.</p>
MM59	53	Policy MSGP50	<p><b>MSGP50 Waste management facilities in new development</b>  Provision for collection and recycling facilities will be required for new development where appropriate. In particular, development proposals will be required to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Ensure that the layout allows for adequate access and manoeuvrability for refuse collection vehicles, as far as practicable designing out the need to reverse.</li> <li>2) Ensure sufficient bin storage capacity, and storage space within the curtilage of each new house <u>and bungalow</u>, for a minimum of 1 x 240L residual waste bin and 1 x 240L recycling bin, and for <del>houses</del> <u>dwelling</u>s with gardens, 1 x 240L garden waste bin.</li> <li>3) For <u>other new flats</u> <u>dwelling</u>s ensure sufficient <u>communal</u> enclosed bin storage capacity of 140L of waste and recycling per <u>flat</u> <u>dwelling</u> per</li> </ol>

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification												
			<p>week, with adequate access in terms of gradient, surface treatment and distance, from the storage area to the refuse collection vehicle.</p> <p>4) For <del>other</del> <u>all</u> new developments <u>other than residential</u>, ensure sufficient enclosed bin storage with adequate access, in terms of gradient, surface treatment and distance, from the storage area to the refuse collection vehicle.</p>												
MM60	53 - 54	Policy MSGP51 revision, new paragraph and Table 8.1 prior to paragraph 8.12	<p><b><u>MSGP51 Gateshead Wharf Safeguarding minerals related infrastructure</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <u>Minerals infrastructure including wharves, Gateshead Wharf will be safeguarded as a strategic and sustainable wharf for the landing of marine aggregates, and will be protected from the encroachment of incompatible land uses that would compromise its efficient operation recycled and secondary aggregate facilities, and concrete batching and coating facilities, as shown on the Policies Map, will be safeguarded from unnecessary loss to non-mineral related development on or within the vicinity of the site.</u></li> <li>2. <u>Proposals for non-mineral development within an existing minerals infrastructure site will not be supported, unless it can be demonstrated that:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <u>The proposal will not prejudice the current or future use of the site;</u></li> <li>b. <u>The site is no longer needed for minerals handling, processing, storage and transport;</u> <u>or</u></li> <li>c. <u>Alternative minerals related infrastructure and/or capacity can be provided at an alternative site.</u></li> </ol> </li> <li>3. <u>Proposals in the vicinity of minerals related infrastructure will be required to demonstrate that they will not prejudice the operation of the minerals related infrastructure or that any adverse impacts can be suitably mitigated.</u></li> </ol> <p><u>New paragraph: In North East England, recycled aggregates are produced from construction and demolition projects and secondary aggregates are produced from industrial by-products. The NPPF requires Local Plans to safeguard existing, planned and potential sites for important minerals related infrastructure, and to consider the impact of other nearby development under the "agent of change" approach. Existing sites in Gateshead are set out in the following table and are shown on the Policies Map.</u></p> <p><b><u>Table 8.1: Safeguarding minerals infrastructure</u></b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="700 1157 1828 1606"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="700 1157 1264 1192">Site</th> <th data-bbox="1264 1157 1828 1192">Type of minerals infrastructure</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="700 1192 1264 1262">MSGP51.1 Gateshead Wharf, South Shore Road, NE10 0EY (Tarmac)</td> <td data-bbox="1264 1192 1828 1262">Marine Wharves Concrete batching and coating plants</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="700 1262 1264 1331">MSGP51.2 Longshank Lane, Birtley, DH3 1QZ (North East Concrete)</td> <td data-bbox="1264 1262 1828 1331">Recycled and secondary aggregates Concrete batching and coating plants</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="700 1331 1264 1400">MSGP51.3 Hawks Road, Gateshead NE8 3BN</td> <td data-bbox="1264 1331 1828 1400">Concrete batching and coating plants</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="700 1400 1264 1470">MSGP51.4 Nest Road, Felling, Gateshead, NE10 0EY</td> <td data-bbox="1264 1400 1828 1470">Concrete batching and coating plants</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="700 1470 1264 1606">MSGP51.5 Mortar Plant, Derwenthaugh Industrial Estate, Derwenthaugh Road, Blaydon NE16 3BJ (Marshalls)</td> <td data-bbox="1264 1470 1828 1606">Concrete batching and coating plants</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Site	Type of minerals infrastructure	MSGP51.1 Gateshead Wharf, South Shore Road, NE10 0EY (Tarmac)	Marine Wharves Concrete batching and coating plants	MSGP51.2 Longshank Lane, Birtley, DH3 1QZ (North East Concrete)	Recycled and secondary aggregates Concrete batching and coating plants	MSGP51.3 Hawks Road, Gateshead NE8 3BN	Concrete batching and coating plants	MSGP51.4 Nest Road, Felling, Gateshead, NE10 0EY	Concrete batching and coating plants	MSGP51.5 Mortar Plant, Derwenthaugh Industrial Estate, Derwenthaugh Road, Blaydon NE16 3BJ (Marshalls)	Concrete batching and coating plants
Site	Type of minerals infrastructure														
MSGP51.1 Gateshead Wharf, South Shore Road, NE10 0EY (Tarmac)	Marine Wharves Concrete batching and coating plants														
MSGP51.2 Longshank Lane, Birtley, DH3 1QZ (North East Concrete)	Recycled and secondary aggregates Concrete batching and coating plants														
MSGP51.3 Hawks Road, Gateshead NE8 3BN	Concrete batching and coating plants														
MSGP51.4 Nest Road, Felling, Gateshead, NE10 0EY	Concrete batching and coating plants														
MSGP51.5 Mortar Plant, Derwenthaugh Industrial Estate, Derwenthaugh Road, Blaydon NE16 3BJ (Marshalls)	Concrete batching and coating plants														
MM61	54	Policy MSGP52	<p><b><u>MSGP52 Brick Clay</u></b> The brick clay deposit at Lamesley, <u>as shown on the Policies Map</u>, will be safeguarded against unnecessary sterilisation by development.</p>												
MM62	54	Policy MSGP53 and paragraph 8.16	<p><b><u>MSGP53 Dormant mineral sites</u></b> The following sites are designated dormant sand and gravel sites under the Environment Act 1995:</p> <p>MSGP53.1 — Land at Bog Wood MSGP53.2 — Land West of Barlow Lane</p>												

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification
			<p>8.16— There are reserves of sand and gravel present in the two sites identified which were defined as dormant in accordance with Minerals Planning Guidance note 14 and the review of mineral planning permissions section of the Environment Act 1995. Whilst the dormant sites have planning permission in principle, originally being associated with the Burnhills Quarry site, the submission and approval of updated planning conditions would be required prior to the starting of any quarrying activity at these sites.</p>
MM63	54-55	Policy MSGP54 and paragraphs 8.17 and 8.18	<p><del>MSGP54 Unacceptable areas for mineral working</del>  Sand and gravel extraction will not be permitted in the following locations:</p> <p><del>MSGP54.1 south-east of Crawcrook Quarry;</del>  <del>MSGP54.2 between Low Folly and Woodhouse Farm; or</del>  <del>MSGP54.3 between Hexham Old Road and Stella, including land to the south-east of Image Hill.</del></p> <p><del>8.17 The locations referred to include potentially workable deposits of sand and gravel, but are subject to strong constraints against mineral working. The social and environmental costs of mineral working in these areas would exceed any benefits accruing from mineral extraction.</del></p> <p><del>8.18 Working the land south-east of Crawcrook Quarry would result in the loss of amenity by the adjacent residential areas of Crawcrook. The land between Low Folly and Woodhouse Farm is adjacent to housing along Folly Lane. The land between Hexham Old Road and Stella overlooks a residential area, is prominent in views along and across the Tyne Valley, and is partly within both a designated Local Wildlife Site and the Path Head Conservation Area.</del></p>
MM64	58	Appendix 1: Employment Site Allocations, MSGP1.18 Princesway North	<p>Net developable land (ha) = <del>4.41</del><u>2.88</u></p>
MM65	60	After Appendix 1, insert new Appendix (labelled Appendix 2 in proposed main modifications to Policy MSGP4 and paragraph 4.8)	<p><b><u>NEW APPENDIX: Marketing of Employment Premises and Sites Within Key and Main Employment Areas</u></b></p> <p><u>Policy MSGP4 identifies the factors that will be considered by the Council when determining whether development or change of use proposals for alternative / non-employment uses (i.e. uses that fall outside B1, B2 and B8 use classes) in Key and Main Employment Areas can be considered to justify the loss of employment land. Criteria 1a and 2a of the policy set out the expectation that proposals for non-employment uses will provide evidence of unsuccessful marketing. This note provides more detail on the evidence required.</u></p> <p><b><u>Marketing Statement</u></b>  <u>Development or change of use proposals for non-employment uses within Key and Main Employment Areas (as defined by MSGP2 and MSGP3 and on the Policies Map) should be accompanied by a marketing statement showing evidence that:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>• The site/property has been registered with at least one agent who normally deals in commercial property, and is familiar with local market conditions;</u></li> <li><u>• An advertising board has been posted in a prominent place on the site for the duration of the marketing period;</u></li> <li><u>• The marketing activity undertaken has been proactive and appropriate to the scale of the site/property (see below);</u></li> <li><u>• Property details (particulars) have been produced to support the marketing activity, which outline relevant information, including: type of property/site, size, address/location, acceptable/current use(s), rent and/or sale price, and viewing arrangements.</u></li> </ul> <p><u>The marketing statement should identify how many enquiries were received, the number of viewings held, the number of offers received and the reason(s) for refusing those offers.</u></p> <p><b><u>Marketing activity</u></b>  <u>In addition to having regard to the duration of marketing activity (in accordance with the provisions of criteria 1a and 2a of MSGP4), the Council expects that the marketing activity undertaken will be commensurate with the size of the site/property in question. Proposals involving property with 1,000sqm or more internal floorspace, or sites of 0.25ha or larger should have been actively marketed at a national level in recognised commercial property publications or websites capable of attracting interest from across the UK. Smaller sites or premises should be advertised through regional and local publications/websites as a minimum.</u></p>

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification																																																																																																																																																					
MM66	60 - 66	Appendix 2: Housing Site Allocations	<p><b>Appendix 2: Housing Site Allocations</b>  <i>(Please note that sites which have been added to this table since the consultation draft appear at the end of the table)</i></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>MSGP REFERENCE</th> <th>SITE NAME</th> <th>Ward</th> <th>Gross Site Area (ha)</th> <th>Capacity</th> <th>2019<sup>1</sup>-20 (indicative)</th> <th>2020-25 (indicative)</th> <th>2025-30 (indicative)</th> <th>After 2030 (indicative)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>MSGP 10.1</td> <td>Site of The Vigo</td> <td>Birtley</td> <td><del>0.2</del> 0.22</td> <td>10</td> <td>10</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MSGP 10.2</td> <td>Adj Arndale Ho</td> <td>Birtley</td> <td><del>0.05</del> 0.06</td> <td>5</td> <td>5-0</td> <td><del>0</del> 5</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MSGP 10.3</td> <td>Land at Portobello</td> <td>Birtley</td> <td>1.5 1.65</td> <td>60</td> <td><del>60</del> 15</td> <td><del>0</del> 45</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MSGP 10.6</td> <td>Bleach Green</td> <td>Blaydon</td> <td><del>4.84</del> 4.97</td> <td><del>184</del> 183</td> <td><del>60</del> 15</td> <td><del>124</del> 150</td> <td><del>0</del> 18</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MSGP 10.7</td> <td>Blaydon Bank / Litchfield Lane</td> <td>Blaydon/ Winlaton</td> <td><del>0.1</del> 0.11</td> <td>6</td> <td><del>6</del> 0</td> <td><del>0</del> 6</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MSGP 10.8</td> <td>Ramsay Street, Winlaton</td> <td>Blaydon</td> <td>0.07</td> <td><del>13</del> 9</td> <td><del>13</del> 5</td> <td><del>0</del> 4</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MSGP 10.10</td> <td>Horse Crofts</td> <td>Blaydon</td> <td>0.2</td> <td>10</td> <td>10</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MSGP 10.11</td> <td>Hallgarth Rd</td> <td>Blaydon</td> <td><del>0.4</del> 0.41</td> <td><del>3</del> 8</td> <td><del>3</del> 0</td> <td><del>0</del> 8</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MSGP 10.12</td> <td>Axwell Hall</td> <td>Blaydon</td> <td>0.63</td> <td><del>21</del> 17</td> <td><del>21</del> 17</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MSGP 10.13</td> <td>Tennyson and Newbolt Towers</td> <td>Bridges</td> <td><del>1</del> 0.37</td> <td>45</td> <td>0</td> <td><del>0</del> 45</td> <td>0</td> <td><del>45</del> 0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MSGP 10.14</td> <td>Windmill Hills School</td> <td>Bridges</td> <td>1.51</td> <td><del>23</del> 40</td> <td>0</td> <td><del>23</del> 40</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MSGP 10.15</td> <td>Site of Northwood APH</td> <td>Bridges</td> <td>0.2</td> <td>10</td> <td>0</td> <td>10</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MSGP 10.16</td> <td>92 Coatsworth Rd</td> <td>Bridges</td> <td>0.04</td> <td>4</td> <td>4</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MSGP 10.17</td> <td>Sanderson Villas</td> <td>Bridges</td> <td><del>1.28</del> 0.27</td> <td>10</td> <td>10</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MSGP 10.19</td> <td>Rowlands Gill Infants' School</td> <td>Chopwell and Rowlands Gill</td> <td><del>0.66</del> 0.7</td> <td>23</td> <td><del>20</del> 0</td> <td><del>3</del> 23</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>						MSGP REFERENCE	SITE NAME	Ward	Gross Site Area (ha)	Capacity	2019 <sup>1</sup> -20 (indicative)	2020-25 (indicative)	2025-30 (indicative)	After 2030 (indicative)	MSGP 10.1	Site of The Vigo	Birtley	<del>0.2</del> 0.22	10	10	0	0	0	MSGP 10.2	Adj Arndale Ho	Birtley	<del>0.05</del> 0.06	5	5-0	<del>0</del> 5	0	0	MSGP 10.3	Land at Portobello	Birtley	1.5 1.65	60	<del>60</del> 15	<del>0</del> 45	0	0	MSGP 10.6	Bleach Green	Blaydon	<del>4.84</del> 4.97	<del>184</del> 183	<del>60</del> 15	<del>124</del> 150	<del>0</del> 18	0	MSGP 10.7	Blaydon Bank / Litchfield Lane	Blaydon/ Winlaton	<del>0.1</del> 0.11	6	<del>6</del> 0	<del>0</del> 6	0	0	MSGP 10.8	Ramsay Street, Winlaton	Blaydon	0.07	<del>13</del> 9	<del>13</del> 5	<del>0</del> 4	0	0	MSGP 10.10	Horse Crofts	Blaydon	0.2	10	10	0	0	0	MSGP 10.11	Hallgarth Rd	Blaydon	<del>0.4</del> 0.41	<del>3</del> 8	<del>3</del> 0	<del>0</del> 8	0	0	MSGP 10.12	Axwell Hall	Blaydon	0.63	<del>21</del> 17	<del>21</del> 17	0	0	0	MSGP 10.13	Tennyson and Newbolt Towers	Bridges	<del>1</del> 0.37	45	0	<del>0</del> 45	0	<del>45</del> 0	MSGP 10.14	Windmill Hills School	Bridges	1.51	<del>23</del> 40	0	<del>23</del> 40	0	0	MSGP 10.15	Site of Northwood APH	Bridges	0.2	10	0	10	0	0	MSGP 10.16	92 Coatsworth Rd	Bridges	0.04	4	4	0	0	0	MSGP 10.17	Sanderson Villas	Bridges	<del>1.28</del> 0.27	10	10	0	0	0	MSGP 10.19	Rowlands Gill Infants' School	Chopwell and Rowlands Gill	<del>0.66</del> 0.7	23	<del>20</del> 0	<del>3</del> 23	0	0
MSGP REFERENCE	SITE NAME	Ward	Gross Site Area (ha)	Capacity	2019 <sup>1</sup> -20 (indicative)	2020-25 (indicative)	2025-30 (indicative)	After 2030 (indicative)																																																																																																																																																
MSGP 10.1	Site of The Vigo	Birtley	<del>0.2</del> 0.22	10	10	0	0	0																																																																																																																																																
MSGP 10.2	Adj Arndale Ho	Birtley	<del>0.05</del> 0.06	5	5-0	<del>0</del> 5	0	0																																																																																																																																																
MSGP 10.3	Land at Portobello	Birtley	1.5 1.65	60	<del>60</del> 15	<del>0</del> 45	0	0																																																																																																																																																
MSGP 10.6	Bleach Green	Blaydon	<del>4.84</del> 4.97	<del>184</del> 183	<del>60</del> 15	<del>124</del> 150	<del>0</del> 18	0																																																																																																																																																
MSGP 10.7	Blaydon Bank / Litchfield Lane	Blaydon/ Winlaton	<del>0.1</del> 0.11	6	<del>6</del> 0	<del>0</del> 6	0	0																																																																																																																																																
MSGP 10.8	Ramsay Street, Winlaton	Blaydon	0.07	<del>13</del> 9	<del>13</del> 5	<del>0</del> 4	0	0																																																																																																																																																
MSGP 10.10	Horse Crofts	Blaydon	0.2	10	10	0	0	0																																																																																																																																																
MSGP 10.11	Hallgarth Rd	Blaydon	<del>0.4</del> 0.41	<del>3</del> 8	<del>3</del> 0	<del>0</del> 8	0	0																																																																																																																																																
MSGP 10.12	Axwell Hall	Blaydon	0.63	<del>21</del> 17	<del>21</del> 17	0	0	0																																																																																																																																																
MSGP 10.13	Tennyson and Newbolt Towers	Bridges	<del>1</del> 0.37	45	0	<del>0</del> 45	0	<del>45</del> 0																																																																																																																																																
MSGP 10.14	Windmill Hills School	Bridges	1.51	<del>23</del> 40	0	<del>23</del> 40	0	0																																																																																																																																																
MSGP 10.15	Site of Northwood APH	Bridges	0.2	10	0	10	0	0																																																																																																																																																
MSGP 10.16	92 Coatsworth Rd	Bridges	0.04	4	4	0	0	0																																																																																																																																																
MSGP 10.17	Sanderson Villas	Bridges	<del>1.28</del> 0.27	10	10	0	0	0																																																																																																																																																
MSGP 10.19	Rowlands Gill Infants' School	Chopwell and Rowlands Gill	<del>0.66</del> 0.7	23	<del>20</del> 0	<del>3</del> 23	0	0																																																																																																																																																

<sup>1</sup> Remaining completions (omits homes completed on the site within this period)

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification								
			MSGP 10.20	Former Victoria Institute	Chopwell and Rowlands Gill	0.2	6	6	0	0	0
			MSGP 10.21	Windsor Court, Rowlands Gill	Chopwell and Rowlands Gill	<del>0.27</del> <u>0.31</u>	<del>7</del> <u>5</u>	<del>7</del> <u>0</u>	<del>0</del> <u>5</u>	0	0
			MSGP 10.22	Chopwell Heartlands	Chopwell and Rowlands Gill	<del>9.18</del> <u>10.68</u>	220	0	<del>88</del> <u>90</u>	<del>132</del> <u>130</u>	0
			MSGP 10.23	Former garage site, South Rd	Chopwell and Rowlands Gill	<del>0.1</del> <u>0.13</u>	<del>3</del> <u>4</u>	<del>3</del> <u>0</u>	<del>0</del> <u>4</u>	0	0
			MSGP 10.24	Site of Children's Home, Harlow Green	Chowdene	0.5	13	<del>13</del> <u>0</u>	<del>0</del> <u>13</u>	0	0
			MSGP 10.25	Charlie Street	Crawcrook and Greenside	0.13	3	<del>3</del> <u>0</u>	<del>0</del> <u>3</u>	0	0
			MSGP 10.26	Sealburns Farm	Crawcrook and Greenside	<del>0.4</del> <u>0.45</u>	<del>10</del> <u>5</u>	0	<del>10</del> <u>5</u>	0	0
			MSGP 10.27	E of Broadway Centre	Deckham	<del>1.49</del> <u>1.54</u>	56	0	<del>56</del> <u>30</u>	<del>0</del> <u>26</u>	0
			<del>MSGP 10.28</del>	<del>33-37 Deckham Tee</del>	<del>Deckham</del>	<del>0.02</del>	7	7	0	0	0
			MSGP 10.30	Swanway	Deckham	0.22	12	<del>12</del> <u>6</u>	<del>0</del> <u>6</u>	0	0
			MSGP 10.31	NE of Elgin Centre	Deckham	<del>1</del> <u>0.8</u>	27	0	<del>27</del> <u>0</u>	<del>0</del> <u>27</u>	0
			MSGP 10.32	Site of Deckham Hotel	Deckham	<del>0.2</del> <u>0.19</u>	<del>6</del> <u>8</u>	0	<del>6</del> <u>8</u>	0	0
			MSGP 10.33	Dixon Street	Dunston and Teams	<del>2.78</del> <u>2.88</u>	106	0	<del>106</del> <u>30</u>	<del>0</del> <u>76</u>	0
			MSGP 10.34	Clasper Village	Dunston and Teams	6.8	<del>181</del> <u>191</u>	0	<del>181</del> <u>180</u>	<del>0</del> <u>11</u>	0
			<del>MSGP 10.35</del>	<del>Foresters Arms</del>	<del>Dunston and Teams</del>	<del>0.1</del>	9	0	9	0	0

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification								
			MSGP 10.39	Seymour Street	Dunston and Teams	0.13	7	<del>7</del> 0	<del>0</del> 7	0	0
			MSGP 10.40	Play area, Wolseley Close	Dunston and Teams	0.9	41	0	<del>0</del> 41	<del>11</del> 0	<del>30</del> 0
			MSGP 10.41	Ravensworth Rd, Dunston	Dunston and Teams	2.67	<del>45</del> 14	<del>45</del> 14	0	0	0
			MSGP 10.42	Johnson St Abbeyfield Close	Dunston and Teams	0.017	3	3	0	0	0
			MSGP 10.43	Dunston Hill School	Dunston Hill and Whickham East	<del>0.7</del> 0.73	<del>18</del> 26	0	<del>18</del> 26	0	0
			MSGP 10.44	Chase Park depot	Dunston Hill and Whickham East	0.39	5	<del>5</del> 0	<del>0</del> 5	0	0
			MSGP 10.45	Washingwell Cottage	Dunston Hill and Whickham East	<del>0.4</del> 0.45	<del>5</del> 3	<del>5</del> 0	<del>0</del> 3	0	0
			MSGP 10.46	The Hall, Church Chare, Whickham	Dunston Hill and Whickham East	<del>0.06</del> 0.15	4	4	0	0	0
			MSGP 10.47	Part of Dunston Hill Hosp	Dunston Hill and Whickham East	1.39	38	<del>10</del> 0	<del>28</del> 0	<del>0</del> 38	0
			MSGP 10.48	Brandling Village	Felling	6.3	146	0	<del>140</del> 75	<del>6</del> 71	0
			MSGP 10.49	Rear of Pensher St	Felling	<del>0.79</del> 0.84	<del>22</del> 36	<del>15</del> 0	<del>7</del> 36	0	0
			MSGP 10.50	Acacia Rd	Felling	1.72	41	<del>35</del> 11	<del>6</del> 30	0	0
			MSGP 10.52	St Peters Close	Felling	0.11	5	5	0	0	0
			MSGP 10.53	Felling Park Depot	Felling	0.24	<del>12</del> 10	<del>12</del> 0	<del>0</del> 10	0	0
			MSGP 10.54	Oban Tce, Sunderland Rd	Felling	0.16	12	0	<del>0</del> 12	<del>12</del> 0	0
			MSGP 10.55	The Hall, Sunderland Rd	Felling	0.11	16	0	16	0	0

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification								
			MSGP 10.56	Whitley Court	High Fell	<del>0.95</del> <u>1.12</u>	<del>30</del> <u>42</u>	<del>17</del> <u>0</u>	<del>13</del> <u>42</u>	0	0
			MSGP 10.57	Beacon Lough East	High Fell	<del>4.13</del> <u>4.23</u>	174	0	<del>0</del> <u>30</u>	<del>117</del> <u>144</u>	<del>57</del> <u>0</u>
			MSGP 10.58	Ravenswood care home site	High Fell	0.4	<del>11</del> <u>12</u>	<del>11</del> <u>0</u>	<del>0</del> <u>12</u>	0	0
			MSGP 10.59	Lyndhurst Centre	High Fell	<del>1.21</del> <u>1.28</u>	36	<del>17</del> <u>15</u>	<del>19</del> <u>21</u>	0	0
			MSGP 10.60	Wrekenton Multi-Purpose Centre	High Fell	<del>0.22</del> <u>0.34</u>	45	0	<del>45</del> <u>0</u>	<del>0</del> <u>45</u>	0
			MSGP 10.61	Aycliffe Avenue shops etc	High Fell	0.15	<del>5</del> <u>6</u>	<del>5</del> <u>0</u>	<del>0</del> <u>6</u>	0	0
			MSGP 10.62	Elisabethville	Lamesley	<del>2.83</del> <u>2.90</u>	109	0	<del>101</del> <u>30</u>	<del>8</del> <u>79</u>	0
			MSGP 10.64	Seaham Gdns	Lamesley	0.16	5	0	5	0	0
			MSGP 10.65	High Eighton Farm	Lamesley	0.37	11	0	11	0	0
			MSGP 10.66	Northside (part of) - Cell C	Lamesley	5.32	132	0	<del>132</del> <u>30</u>	<del>0</del> <u>102</u>	0
			MSGP 10.67	Northside (part of) - Phase II Birtley JV	Lamesley/ Birtley	7.53	<del>112</del> <u>88</u>	<del>70</del> <u>30</u>	<del>42</del> <u>58</u>	0	0
			MSGP 10.69	Hedley Hall Cottis	Lamesley	0.13	<del>4</del> <u>3</u>	<del>4</del> <u>3</u>	0	0	0
			MSGP 10.72	Eslington Villa	Low Fell	<del>0.73</del> <u>0.75</u>	10	0	10	0	0
			MSGP 10.73	Gateshead Outdoor Activity Centre	Low Fell	0.17	<del>7</del> <u>12</u>	<del>7</del> <u>0</u>	<del>0</del> <u>12</u>	0	0
			MSGP 10.74	Queen's Head, Sheriff's Highway	Low Fell	<del>0.03</del>	5	5	<del>0</del>	<del>0</del>	<del>0</del>
			MSGP 10.75	Jordan Engineering	Pelaw and Heworth	<del>0.72</del> <u>0.89</u>	36	0	<del>36</del> <u>30</u>	<del>0</del> <u>6</u>	0
			MSGP 10.76	Queen Victoria Street, Pelaw	Pelaw and Heworth	<del>0.62</del>	19	19	<del>0</del>	<del>0</del>	<del>0</del>
			MSGP 10.80	The White House, Stella Rd	Ryton Crookhill and Stella	0.31	4	4	0	0	0

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification								
			MSGP 10.81	East Grange	Ryton Crookhill and Stella	<del>0.3</del> <u>0.22</u>	15	0	15	0	0
			MSGP 10.82	Old Co-op, Crookhill	Ryton Crookhill and Stella	<del>0.13</del> <u>0.12</u>	11	11	0	0	0
			MSGP 10.83	Ryton Park Hotel	Ryton Crookhill and Stella	<del>0.19</del> <u>0.20</u>	<del>6</del> <u>3</u>	<del>6</del> <u>3</u>	0	0	0
			MSGP 10.84	Alexandra Gardens Crookhill	Ryton, Crookhill and Stella	0.09	5	5	0	0	0
			MSGP 10.85	Kelvin Grove	Saltwell	<del>1.17</del> <u>1.35</u>	52	<del>32</del> <u>15</u>	<del>20</del> <u>37</u>	0	0
			MSGP 10.86	Springs Health Club	Saltwell	<del>0.37</del> <u>0.41</u>	22	22	0	0	0
			MSGP 10.87	Hyde Park	Saltwell	<del>1.35</del> <u>1.55</u>	<del>40</del> <u>49</u>	0	<del>40</del> <u>49</u>	0	0
			<del>MSGP 10.89</del>	<del>W of Saltwell Rd JV site</del>	Saltwell	<del>1.7</del>	<del>21</del>	<del>21</del>	<del>0</del>	<del>0</del>	<del>0</del>
			MSGP 10.90	65-69 Bewick Road,	Saltwell	0.05	3	3	0	0	0
			MSGP 10.91	Thorne Ave garage block	Wardley and Leam Lane	0.14	4	<del>4</del> <u>0</u>	<del>0</del> <u>4</u>	0	0
			MSGP 10.93	Clavering Rd, Swalwell	Whickham North	<del>0.11</del> <u>0.12</u>	8	8	0	0	0
			MSGP 10.94	Brewery Bank	Whickham North	0.04	9	<del>9</del> <u>0</u>	<del>0</del> <u>9</u>	0	0
			MSGP 10.95	Whickham Front St Sch old buildings	Whickham North	0.32	16	0	16	0	0
			MSGP 10.96	Whickham Front St Sch new bldgs	Whickham North	<del>0.37</del> <u>0.41</u>	<del>8</del> <u>19</u>	0	<del>8</del> <u>0</u>	<del>0</del> <u>19</u>	0
			MSGP 10.97	Derwentside Nursing Home	Whickham North	<del>0.36</del> <u>0.47</u>	22	<del>22</del> <u>15</u>	<del>0</del> <u>7</u>	0	0
			MSGP 10.98	Holme Rise garages	Whickham North	0.1	3	0	3	0	0

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification								
			MSGP 10.100	Kipling Avenue garages	Whickham North	0.28	13	0	13	0	0
			MSGP 10.101	Crowley Rd / Richmond Ave	Whickham North	0.14	9	9	0	0	0
			MSGP 10.102	Bar 3T, Swalwell	Whickham North	0.04	6	<del>6</del> 0	<del>6</del> 0	0	0
			MSGP 10.103	Marley Hill School	Whickham South and Sunnyside	<del>0.7</del> <u>0.81</u>	<del>22</del> <u>15</u>	<del>22</del> <u>15</u>	0	0	0
			MSGP 10.104	Starling Walk	Whickham South and Sunnyside	0.21	10	<del>10</del> 0	<del>10</del> 0	0	0
			MSGP 10.105	Garages, Gladeley Way, Sunnyside	Whickham South and Sunnyside	0.15	5	0	5	0	0
			MSGP 10.106	The Grange, Marley Hill	Whickham South and Sunnyside	0.43	<del>3</del> <u>6</u>	<del>3</del> <u>0</u>	<del>6</del> 0	0	0
			MSGP 10.107	Opposite Coltsfoot Gdns	Windy Nook and Whitehills	<del>1.35</del> <u>1.63</u>	39	<del>24</del> <u>0</u>	<del>15</del> <u>39</u>	0	0
			MSGP 10.108	Former Winlaton Care Village	Winlaton and High Spen	<del>2.96</del> <u>4.03</u>	<del>25</del> <u>3</u>	<del>25</del> <u>3</u>	0	0	0
			MSGP 10.109	57-59 Front St Winlaton	Winlaton and High Spen	<del>0.02</del> <u>0.03</u>	6	6	0	0	0
			MSGP 10.110	Hookergate School	Winlaton and High Spen	<del>1.73</del> <u>2.36</u>	<del>45</del> <u>50</u>	<del>7</del> <u>0</u>	<del>38</del> <u>50</u>	0	0
			<del>MSGP 10.111</del>	<del>Barlow Rd</del>	<del>Winlaton and High Spen</del>	<del>0.18</del>	5	0	5	0	0
			MSGP 10.112	Hallgarth Mews	Winlaton and High Spen	<del>0.63</del> <u>0.83</u>	15	<del>15</del> <u>0</u>	<del>0</del> <u>15</u>	0	0
			MSGP 10.113	Ethel Tce garages	Winlaton and High Spen	0.14	<del>3</del> <u>5</u>	<del>3</del> <u>5</u>	0	0	0
			MSGP 10.114	W of Beda Hill	Winlaton and High Spen	<del>0.41</del> <u>0.47</u>	13	13	0	0	0

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification								
			MSGP 10.115	Strothers Rd	Winlato n and High Spen	0.07	3	<del>3</del> 0	<del>0</del> 3	0	0
			MSGP 10.117	Winlato n Social Club	Winlato n and High Spen	0.26	<del>11</del> 3	<del>11</del> 3	0	0	0
			MSGP 10.118	Spen Excelsior Club, High Spen	Winlato n and High Spen	0.05	3	<del>0</del> 3	<del>3</del> 0	0	0
			MSGP 10.119	Garden Street	Blaydon	<del>0.63</del> 0.67	<del>32</del> 25	0	<del>32</del> 25	0	0
			MSGP 10.120	19-21 Derwent Street, Chopwell	Chopwell and Rowlands Gill	<del>0.04</del> 0.02	3	<del>3</del> 0	<del>0</del> 3	0	0
			MSGP 10.121	Ellison Terrace	Crawcrook and Greenside	0.04	3	<del>3</del> 0	<del>0</del> 3	0	0
			MSGP 10.122	Medway Crescent	Deckham	<del>0.36</del> 0.42	16	<del>16</del> 0	<del>0</del> 16	0	0
			MSGP 10.123	Chelsea Gardens west end	Deckham	<del>0.1</del> 0.14	4	0	4	0	0
			MSGP 10.124	Kingston Road north side	Deckham	<del>0.1</del> 0.09	4	0	4	0	0
			MSGP 10.125	Chiswick Gardens	Deckham	0.15	4	0	4	0	0
			MSGP 10.126	1-6a Ravensworth View	Dunston and Teams	0.03	3	3	0	0	0
			MSGP 10.127	Whinney Park	Low Fell	<del>0.4</del> 0.43	<del>12</del> 9	<del>12</del> 9	0	0	0
			MSGP 10.129	North of Gullane Close	Pelaw and Heworth	<del>1.6</del> 1.73	30	<del>30</del> 0	<del>0</del> 30	0	0
			MSGP 10.130	South of Pelaw Metro Station	Pelaw and Heworth	<del>0.9</del> 0.99	45	0	45	0	0
			MSGP 10.131	Albion Inn, Reay Street, Bill Quay	Pelaw and Heworth	<del>0.03</del> 0.06	<del>5</del> 3	<del>5</del> 3	0	0	0

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification								
			MSGP	Location	Policy	2019-20	2020-25	2025-30	After 2030		
			MSGP 10.132	29-35 Kellsway	Windy Nook and Whitehills	<del>0.04</del> <u>0.03</u>	3	3	0	0	0
			MSGP 10.133	Derwent View Garages, Winlaton	Winlaton and High Spen	<del>0.08</del> <u>0.1</u>	4	<u>4</u> 0	<del>0</del> <u>4</u>	0	0
						<u>Gross Site Area (ha)</u>	<u>Capacity</u>	<u>2019<sup>2</sup>-20 (indicative)</u>	<u>2020-25 (indicative)</u>	<u>2025-30 (indicative)</u>	<u>After 2030 (indicative)</u>
			<b>Indicative Capacities</b>			<u>100.38</u>	<u>2,789</u>	<u>320</u>	<u>1,677</u>	<u>792</u>	<u>0</u>
MM67	67	Appendix 3a, paragraph 1.1	<del>1.1 Gateshead's approved design guide 'Making It Happen' sets out broad principles for incorporating car parking in the design of new residential development. This document complements that general approach by setting out guidelines for the levels of provision of car parking to be provided within them.</del>								
MM68	68	Appendix 3a, paragraph 3.2	<del>3.2 Within major developments, provision should be made for On larger sites provision may be needed for dedicated spaces for car club vehicles and/or electric vehicle charging points. Where this is required it will be included as part of the visitor provision. The most appropriate location for any such spaces provision within the site will be discussed a part of the design process. A Traffic Regulation Order may be needed to establish any dedicated spaces. Although it is expected that most visitor parking will be located within the adopted highway in some developments (for example flats) the visitor provision may be better provided in a car park rather than on street.</del>								
MM69	68	Appendix 3a, paragraph 4.2	<del>4.2 General advice on proposals for residential development with zero or very limited parking provision is also provided in 'Making it Happen'</del>								
MM70	69	Appendix 3a, paragraph 7.1	<del>7.1 General guidance on the accommodation of car parking within residential development is provided in the Gateshead Council design guide 'Making it Happen' guidance. 'Manual for Streets' and the English Partnerships publication 'Car parking - what works where' provide further useful advice on the successful incorporation of car parking within new residential development.</del>								
MM71	78	Appendix 4, unnumbered paragraph, first bullet point	<p>The Council seeks to encourage the growth of cycling. One important step towards that is to ensure the provision of the correct level of secure, conveniently located, cycle parking facilities in new developments.</p> <p>The following points of guidance and clarification are made for developers:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>provision guidelines for all classes of development are expressed as minima the Council may agree to negotiate a lower level of initial provision where there is a persuasive argument and a commitment from the developer to monitor use and provide additional cycle parking where demand arises to permit a lower level of provision;</del></li> </ul>								
MM72	85	Appendix 4, Table, Use Class C3 Dwellinghouses, Dwellinghouses,	A garage will satisfy the long stay requirement as long as its internal dimensions are 7m x 3m or larger; otherwise alternative provision will be required. This may be in the form of a shed, locker or internal storage space. Developers will need to demonstrate that proposals for external storage are suitably <u>secure</u> .								

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification			
		final column (Notes)				
MM73	85	Appendix 4, Table, Use Class C3 Dwellinghouses, Flats (new build and conversions), final column (Notes)	A garage will satisfy the long stay requirement as long as its internal dimensions are 7m x 3m or larger; otherwise alternative provision will be required. This may be in the form of a shed, locker or internal storage space. Developers will need to demonstrate that proposals for external storage are suitably secure. Where lifts are to be provided, they should <u>be capable of accommodating cycles</u> .			
MM74	86	Appendix 4, Table, D1 Non-residential Institutions, Primary Schools, third column (Cycle Parking Minimum Guidelines)	2 spaces per 225 pupils for staff plus 2 per 40 pupils.  A minimum of 10 pupil and 2 staff spaces must be <u>provided</u> .			
MM75	90	Appendix 5: List of hazardous installations	<b>Site Operator</b>	<b>Site Address</b>	<b>Grid Reference</b>	<b>Consultation zone (metres)</b>
			<b>Brenntag UK Ltd (formerly Albion Chemicals)</b>	<b>Longshanks Lane, Birtley, Tyne and Wear</b>	<b>NZ 264564</b>	<b>289m</b>
			<b>Calor Gas Ltd</b>	<b>Newcastle Calor Centre, Halifax Place, Dunston Ind Estate, Tyne &amp; Wear</b>	<b>NZ 220623</b>	<b>120m</b>
			<b>Elementis Specialities</b>	<b>Mary Avenue, Birtley, Tyne &amp; Wear</b>	<b>NZ 266562</b>	<b>80m</b>
			<b>Flogas Direct Ltd</b>	<b>Ryton Industrial Estate, Newburn Bridge Road, Blaydon-on-Tyne, Tyne &amp; Wear</b>	<b>NZ 166646</b>	<b>280m</b>
			<b>Flogas UK Ltd</b>	<b>Newtown Industrial Estate, Durham Road, Birtley, Tyne &amp; Wear,</b>	<b>NZ 271549</b>	<b>275m</b>
			<b>Northern Gas Networks Limited</b>	<b>Lamesley Storage Installation, Chowdene Bank, Gateshead, Tyne and Wear</b>	<b>NZ 252583</b>	<b>600m</b>
			<b>Northern Gas Networks Limited</b>	<b>Redheugh Holder Station, Team Street, Gateshead, Tyne &amp; Wear</b>	<b>NZ 237623</b>	<b>60m</b>

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification				
			<b>Tor Coatings Ltd</b>	<b>Portobello Industrial Estate, Shadon Way, Birtley, Tyne &amp; Wear</b>	<b>NZ 281554</b>	<b>180m</b>	
			<b>Tor Coatings Ltd</b>	<b>Follingsby Lane, Follingsby, Gateshead</b>	<b>NZ 312603</b>	<b><u>228m</u></b>	
			<b>Energas Ltd</b>	<b>Earlsway, Team Valley Trading Estate, Gateshead</b>	<b>NZ 244612</b>	<b>1000m</b>	

MM76	123	Appendix 17: Open Space Standards		<b>Quality</b>	<b>Accessibility</b>	<b>Quantity</b>	
			Large open spaces (recreational open space sites of more than 1ha in size)	High quality: attain a score of 53% or more  Low quality: attain a score lower than 38%	At least one area of recreational open space can be accessed within 500m (straight-line distance) of all homes	Minimum of 1.07ha per 1,000 residents	
			Small open spaces (sites of between 0.05ha and 1ha in size)	High quality: attain a score of 48% or more  Low quality: attain a score lower than 40%		Minimum of 0.92ha per 1,000 residents	
			Facilities for children and young people	High quality: attain a score of 54% or more  Low quality: attain a score lower than 49%		Minimum of 0.07ha per 1,000 residents	
			Recreational open space (combined)	Apply the relevant standards set out above		Minimum of 2.06ha per 1,000 residents	
				<b>Quality</b>	<b>Accessibility</b>	<b>Quantity</b>	
			<b>Public open space</b>	<u>Large open spaces (sites of more than 1ha in size)</u>	<u>High quality: attain a score of 53% or more</u>  <u>Low quality: attain a score lower than 38%</u>	<u>At least one area of public open space can be accessed within 500m (straight-line distance) of all homes</u>	<u>Minimum of 1.99ha per 1,000 residents</u>
				<u>Small open spaces (sites of between 0.05ha and 1ha in size)</u>	<u>High quality: attain a score of 48% or more</u>  <u>Low quality: attain a score lower than 40%</u>		
				<u>Facilities for children and young people</u>	<u>High quality: attain a score of 54% or more</u>  <u>Low quality: attain a score lower than 49%</u>	<u>At least one play facility can be accessed within 500m (straight-line distance) of all homes</u>	<u>Minimum of 0.07ha per 1,000 residents</u>

<u>Open space (combined)</u>	<u>Apply the relevant standards set out above</u>	<u>Apply the relevant standards set out above</u>	<u>Minimum of 2.06ha per 1,000 residents</u>

Gateshead's Open Space Assessment (OSA) provides an up-to-date assessment of the needs for, and supply of open space in Gateshead, and uses this information to determine the recommended minimum open space standards that are presented in this Appendix. The minimum open space standards will be applied to development proposals that relate to the provision and/or protection of recreational open spaces through policies MSGP40 and MSGP41. The application of these standards is intended to establish a balanced approach to understanding the value of, and need for, open space sites which considers the quantity, accessibility and quality of open space, avoiding excessive emphasis on one measure of provision.

The OSA provides a quality scoring matrix, with the appraisal criteria informed by the assessment of residents' needs, which should be used by applicants when assessing the quality of specific open space sites. When considering the quantity of existing open space provision, regard will be given to the quantitative assessment provided in the OSA, which measures the quantity (area) of open space identified in each ward, set against the estimated size of the ward's residential population to determine the amount of recreational open space against the standard of 2.06ha per 1,000 residents.

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification		
MM77	124 - 129	Appendix 18: Policy review (Schedule of UDP policies superseded)	See Appendix A at the end of this schedule for proposed modification		
MM78	131 - 132	Appendix 19, Table 1: Trigger Points and Potential Remedial Actions, Policy MSGP4	MSGP Policy	MSGP4 – Loss of Employment Land	
			Monitored by framework number	CSUCP	8, 9
				MSGP	2
			Trigger for Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Significant and sustained loss of employment land to non-employment uses</li> </ul>	
Potential Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Assessment of additional support that could be given to assist economic development in Gateshead</li> <li>Review of Employment Area boundaries</li> </ul>				
MM79	132	Appendix 19, Table 1: Trigger Points and Potential Remedial Actions, Policy MSGP6	MSGP Policy	MSGP6 – Targeted Recruitment and Training Requirements	
			Monitored by framework number	CSUCP	6
				MSGP	-
			Trigger for Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>Targeted recruitment / training agreements are not provided on a significant number of developments where they would be appropriate.</del></li> </ul>	
Potential Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>Identify and address reasons for under provision of targeted recruitment / training agreements</del></li> </ul>				
MM80	132	Appendix 19, Table 1: Trigger Points and Potential Remedial Actions, Policy MSGP7	MSGP Policy	MSGP7 – Retail and Other Uses in Centres	
			Monitored by framework number	CSUCP	10, 11, 12
				MSGP	-
			Trigger for Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Retail developments are not in keeping with the retail hierarchy</li> <li>Significant decline in the vitality and viability of centres</li> <li><del>Significant loss of primary retail frontage</del></li> </ul>	
Potential Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identification of reasons for development being approved that is not in keeping with the retail hierarchy</li> <li>Update of evidence base and potential review of retail hierarchy</li> <li>Review / support initiatives to encourage vitality of centres</li> </ul>				

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification		
			MSGP Policy		
MM81	132	Appendix 19, Table 1: Trigger Points and Potential Remedial Actions, Policy MSGP8	MSGP Policy	MSGP8 – Retail and Leisure Impact Assessment	
			Monitored by framework number	CSUCP	10, 11, 12
				MSGP	-
			Trigger for Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A significant number of retail and leisure developments are not in keeping with the retail hierarchy</li> </ul>	
Potential Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify reasons for development being approved that is not in keeping with the retail hierarchy</li> </ul>				
MM82	132 - 133	Appendix 19, Table 1: Trigger Points and Potential Remedial Actions, Policy MSGP9	MSGP Policy	MSGP9 – Digital Infrastructure	
			Monitored by framework number	<del>N/A – Statements of Common Ground present an opportunity to identify and seek to address wider issues regarding the provision of digital infrastructure</del>	
				CSUCP	N/A
				MSGP	3
			Trigger for Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>New developments failing to integrate necessary physical infrastructure to enable access to information and digital communication networks</li> </ul>	
Potential Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Explore / support initiatives to improve digital communication networks</li> </ul>				
MM83	133	Appendix 19, Table 1: Trigger Points and Potential Remedial Actions, Policy MSGP10	MSGP Policy	MSGP10 – Housing Sites Allocation	
			Monitored by framework number	CSUCP	18, 19
				MSGP	-
			Trigger for Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Unable to demonstrate a five-year supply of deliverable housing sites</li> <li>Delivery of new homes is significantly and persistently below the target set in policy CS10</li> <li><u>Ongoing failure to meet the minimum performance threshold of the Housing Delivery Test</u></li> </ul>	
Potential Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify opportunities to increase delivery of new housing (through housing delivery action plan)</li> <li>Potential update of the Plan, exploring potential alternative housing site allocations</li> </ul>				

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification			
MM84	133	Appendix 19, Table 1: Trigger Points and Potential Remedial Actions, Policies MSGP11 and MSGP12	MSGP Policy	<del>MSGP11 – Housing for Specific Groups-Accessible and Adaptable Dwellings</del>		
			Monitored by framework number	CSUCP	<del>18 -17</del>	
				MSGP	<del>3 4</del>	
			Trigger for Remedial Action		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>Evidence (through a SHMA) that the range of housing options being provided in Gateshead is below identified needs</del> <u>Provision of adaptable and accessible dwellings is significantly below target set in MSGP11</u></li> </ul>	
			Potential Remedial Action		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>Identify (funding) opportunities to support provision of new specialist and supported housing, sheltered housing and extra care accommodation</del> <u>Identify and address reasons for under-provision of adaptable and accessible housing</u></li> </ul>	
			MSGP Policy	<del>MSGP12 – Standards for New Housing-Housing for Specific Groups</del>		
			Monitored by framework number	CSUCP	<del>-</del>	
				MSGP	<del>4 5</del>	
Trigger for Remedial Action		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>Provision of adaptable and accessible dwellings is significantly below target set in MSGP12</del> <u>Evidence (through a SHMA) that the range of housing options being provided in Gateshead is below identified needs</u></li> </ul>				
Potential Remedial Action		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>Identify and address reasons for under-provision of adaptable and accessible housing</del> <u>Identify (funding) opportunities to support provision of new specialist and supported housing, sheltered housing and extra care accommodation</u></li> <li><del>Consider appropriateness of combined obligations placed upon new development</del></li> </ul>				
MM85	133 - 134	Appendix 19, Table 1: Trigger Point and Potential Remedial Actions, Policy MSGP13	MSGP Policy	MSGP13 – Housing Space Standards		
			Monitored by framework number	CSUCP	-	
				MSGP	<u>56</u>	
			Trigger for Remedial Action		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Significant proportion of new housing across a range of development sites fails to meet NDSS</li> </ul>	
Potential Remedial Action		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify and address reasons for failure of new housing provision to meet NDSS</li> <li>Consider appropriateness of combined obligations placed upon new development</li> </ul>				
MM86	134	Appendix 19, Table 1: Trigger Points and Potential Remedial Actions, Policy MSGP14	MSGP Policy	<del>MSGP14 – Housing Density</del>		
			Monitored by framework number	CSUCP	<del>18</del>	
				MSGP	<del>6</del>	
			Trigger for Remedial Action		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>Significant proportion of new developments provide housing at less than 20 dwellings per hectare</del></li> </ul>	
Potential Remedial Action		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>Review housing allocations</del></li> <li><del>Identify and address reasons for failure to meet minimum housing density requirement</del></li> </ul>				

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification		
			MSGP Policy		
MM87	134	Appendix 19, Table 1: Trigger Points and Potential Remedial Actions, Policy MSGP16	MSGP Policy	MSGP16 – Mitigating Impacts of Development on the Transport Network	
			Monitored by framework number	CSUCP	21, 23, 24
				MSGP	-
			Trigger for Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Significant increase in traffic flows</li> <li>Travel Plans are not provided on a significant number of new developments where they would be appropriate.</li> <li>Significant amount of new development is not within 30 minutes public transport travel time of facilities and services</li> </ul>	
Potential Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify and address reasons for increase in traffic flows</li> <li><del>Explore opportunities to improve provision of sustainable transport options</del></li> <li>Consider revising site allocations in an update to the Plan to encourage more sustainable patterns of development</li> </ul>				
MM88	134 - 135	Appendix 19, Table 1: Trigger Points and Potential Remedial Actions, Policy MSGP17	MSGP Policy	MSGP17 – Transport Aspects of the Design of New Development	
			Monitored by framework number	CSUCP	21, 23, 24, 26
				MSGP	-
			Trigger for Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Significant increase in traffic flows</li> <li><del>Travel Plans are not provided on a significant number of new developments where they would be appropriate.</del></li> <li>Significant amount of new development is not within 30 minutes public transport travel time of facilities and services</li> <li><u>Evidence that a significant number of permitted developments have not provided parking in accordance with the requirements of Policy MSGP17</u></li> </ul>	
Potential Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify and address reasons for increase in traffic flows</li> <li><u>Identify why parking requirements have not been adhered to</u></li> <li>Explore opportunities to improve provision of sustainable transport options</li> <li>Consider revising site allocations in an update to the Plan to encourage more sustainable patterns of development</li> <li><u>Consider review of parking standards</u></li> <li><del>Potential review of the policy's requirements in the context of other requirements placed upon new development</del></li> </ul>				
MM89	135	Appendix 19, Table 1: Trigger Points and Potential Remedial Actions, Policy MSGP18	MSGP Policy	MSGP18 – Safeguarded Land for Transport Improvements	
			Monitored by framework number	N/A – this policy relates to the safeguarding of specific sites. The policy can be effectively monitored through a narrative-based appraisal of the implementation of transport improvement schemes	
			Trigger for Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Approval for development that would risk the successful provision of one or more transport improvement scheme</li> </ul>	
			Potential Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Explore (funding) opportunities to implement transport improvement schemes</li> <li><u>Engage with neighbouring areas and relevant transport bodies to bring forward identified schemes, or explore opportunities for alternatives.</u></li> </ul>	

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification					
MM90	136	Appendix 19, Table 1: Trigger Points and Potential Remedial Actions, Policy MSGP23	MSGP Policy	MSGP23 – Hazardous Installations				
			Monitored by framework number	This policy does not relate to specific target(s).  The Council's <del>Contaminated Land</del> officer, in consultation with the Health and Safety Executive will determine whether the policy remains appropriate (enables the Council to meet its statutory obligations) on an ongoing basis, based on evidence from development proposals.				
			Trigger for Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Development permitted contrary to Health and Safety Executive advice</li> </ul>				
			Potential Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify and address reasons for permission being granted contrary to <del>HSE</del>Health and Safety Executive advice</li> </ul>				
MM91	136	Appendix 19, Table 1: Trigger Points and Potential Remedial Actions, New Policy – Aircraft Safety	MSGP Policy	NEW POLICY - Aircraft Safety				
			Monitored by framework number	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>CSUCP</td> <td>-</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MSGP</td> <td>7</td> </tr> </table>	CSUCP	-	MSGP	7
			CSUCP	-				
			MSGP	7				
Trigger for Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Inappropriate development affecting the operational integrity of the airport</li> </ul>							
Potential Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Review the objectives of the policy in partnership with key external stakeholders</li> </ul>							
MM92	138	Appendix 19, Table 1: Trigger Points and Potential Remedial Actions, Policy MSGP30	MSGP Policy	MSGP30 – Flood Risk Management				
			Monitored by framework number	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>CSUCP</td> <td>39, 40, 41</td> </tr> <tr> <td>MSGP</td> <td>-</td> </tr> </table>	CSUCP	39, 40, 41	MSGP	-
			CSUCP	39, 40, 41				
			MSGP	-				
Trigger for Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>Significant number of</del>Evidence of one or more planning permissions granted contrary to Environment Agency advice</li> <li>Significant number of new developments at risk from flooding as indicated by the SFRA</li> <li>Significant number of qualifying developments do not incorporate SuDS</li> </ul>							
Potential Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify reasons for underperformance / under-delivery</li> <li>Potential review of the policy's requirements in the context of other requirements placed upon new development</li> </ul>							
MM93	138	Appendix 19, Table 1: Trigger Points and Potential Remedial Actions, Policy MSGP32	MSGP Policy	MSGP32 – Green Infrastructure and Flood Management Schemes				
			Monitored by framework number	N/A – this policy relates to the safeguarding of specific sites. The policy can be effectively monitored through a narrative-based appraisal of the implementation of schemes specified in the policy				
			Trigger for Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Approval for development that would risk the successful provision of one or more GI / flood management scheme improvement scheme</li> </ul>				
			Potential Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Explore (funding) opportunities to support implementation of schemes</li> <li>Explore the potential opportunities for alternative Green Infrastructure and flood risk management schemes at the River Team Catchment, River Derwent Catchment and River Don Catchment, as appropriate</li> </ul>				

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification	
MM94	139	Appendix 19, Table 1: Trigger Points and Potential Remedial Actions, Policy MSGP34	MSGP Policy	MSGP34 – Countryside and Landscape Protection
			Monitored by framework number	This policy seeks to prevent inappropriate development and does not relate to specific target(s) that would allow a quantifiable measure.  The Council will determine whether the policy remains appropriate on an ongoing basis, based on evidence from specific development proposals, and through any future assessment of landscape character.
			Trigger for Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that approved development would have / has had a significant adverse impact on the characteristics set out in policy MSGP34.</li> </ul>
			Potential Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Potential review of <del>site allocations</del> <u>implementation of development on allocated sites, particularly with regard to the scale/massing/density of development, to inform the review of this policy, and the Council's approach to assessing the suitability of potential development sites</u></li> <li>Examine reasons why approvals have not taken sufficient account of the provisions of the policy and consider altering the policy if necessary</li> </ul>
MM95	140	Appendix 19, Table 1: Trigger Points and Potential Remedial Actions, Policy MSGP38	MSGP Policy	MSGP38 – Biodiversity and Geodiversity
			Monitored by framework number	CSUCP   42 The Council will determine whether the policy approach remains appropriate on an ongoing basis, using evidence gathered through updates to the <u>GI-Green Infrastructure Delivery Plan</u> , and any future update to the <u>GI-Green Infrastructure Strategy</u>
			Trigger for Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Loss or reduction in area of designated sites</u></li> <li><u>Change in condition of designated sites</u></li> <li>Significant adverse impacts on areas of ecological importance</li> <li>Evidence of significant adverse impacts on other <u>GI-Green Infrastructure assets</u></li> </ul>
			Potential Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify reasons for development causing adverse impacts on <del>areas of ecological importance</del> <u>designated sites and/or other GI-Green Infrastructure assets</u></li> <li>Identify (funding) opportunities to safeguard, or deliver improvements to areas of <u>geological or ecological importance</u> and other <u>GI-Green Infrastructure assets</u></li> <li>Potential review of the policy's requirements in the context of other requirements placed upon new development</li> </ul>
MM96	141	Appendix 19, Table 1: Trigger Points and Potential Remedial Actions, Policy MSGP42	MSGP Policy	MSGP42 – Highfield Schools Site
			Monitored by framework number	N/A – this policy relates to the safeguarding of specific land. The policy can be effectively monitored through a narrative-based appraisal of the site's use.
			Trigger for Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>The requirements of policy MSGP42 are infringed</del></li> <li><u>The site is developed for non-educational use(s) that do not serve a community use or open space / sports facility function</u></li> </ul>
			Potential Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Identify why the requirements of this policy have not been adhered to</u></li> <li>Potential review of the relevance of the policy</li> </ul>

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification	
MM97	141	Appendix 19, Table 1: Trigger Points and Potential Remedial Actions, Policy MSGP43	MSGP Policy	MSGP43 Saltwell School Site
			Monitored by framework number	N/A – this policy relates to the allocation of specific land. The policy can be effectively monitored through a narrative-based appraisal of the site’s use.
			Trigger for Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>The requirements of policy MSGP43 are infringed</del></li> </ul>
			Potential Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>Potential review of the relevance of the policy</del></li> </ul>
MM98	141	Appendix 19, Table 1: Trigger Points and Potential Remedial Actions, Policy MSGP44	MSGP Policy	MSGP44 – Jewish and Other Minority Group Community Facilities
			Monitored by framework number	N/A – the policy does not relate to specific targets that would allow a quantifiable measure. The policy can be effectively monitored through a narrative-based review of relevant developments and proposals
			Trigger for Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>The requirements of policy MSGP44 are infringed</del></li> <li><u>Evidence that the needs of Jewish and other minority group communities are not being met</u></li> </ul>
			Potential Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>Potential to review of the relevance of the policy whether the content of the policy reflects evidence of Jewish and other minority community needs</del></li> </ul>
MM99	141	Appendix 19, Table 1: Trigger Points and Potential Remedial Actions, Policy MSGP45	MSGP Policy	MSGP45 – Health Care Facilities
			Monitored by framework number	N/A – this policy relates to the allocation of specific land. The policy can be effectively monitored through a narrative-based appraisal of the site’s use.
			Trigger for Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>The requirements of policy MSGP45 are infringed</del></li> <li><u>The site is developed for non-health care use(s)</u></li> <li><u>There is no evidence of demand for a new health care facility in this location</u></li> </ul>
			Potential Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Identify why the requirements of this policy have not been adhered to</u></li> <li>Potential review of the relevance of the policy</li> </ul>
MM100	142	Appendix 19, Table 1: Trigger Points and Potential Remedial Actions, Policy MSGP48	MSGP Policy	MSGP48 – Quarry Reclamation and Restoration
			Monitored by framework number	CSUCP   44, 48, 49, 50 This policy relates to the allocation of specific sites. The policy can be effectively monitored through a narrative-based appraisal of the use of each site.
			Trigger for Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>The requirements of policy MSGP48 are infringed</del></li> <li><u>Quarry restoration does not occur in accordance with policy requirements</u></li> <li><u>Waste management facilities have inadequate capacity and additional facilities are required</u></li> </ul>
			Potential Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Identify why the policy’s requirements have not been adhered to</u></li> <li><u>Review the need for, and potential location of additional waste management facilities</u></li> <li><del>Potential review of the relevance of the policy approach</del></li> </ul>

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification										
MM101	143	Appendix 19, Table 1: Trigger Points and Potential Remedial Actions, Policy MSGP51	MSGP Policy	MSGP51 – <del>Gateshead Wharf Safeguarding Minerals Related Infrastructure</del>									
			Monitored by framework number	N/A – this policy relates to the safeguarding of specific land. It can effectively be monitored through a narrative-based review of the site's use									
			Trigger for Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that approved or implemented development has compromised, or will compromise the efficient operation of <del>Gateshead Wharf Minerals Infrastructure sites as listed in table 8.1</del></li> </ul>									
			Potential Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify why the policy's requirements have not been adhered to</li> <li>Consider corrective measures that would allow <del>Gateshead Wharf Minerals Infrastructure sites</del> to operate appropriately</li> </ul>									
MM102	143	Appendix 19, Table 1: Trigger Points and Potential Remedial Actions, Policy MSGP53	MSGP Policy	MSGP53 – <del>Dormant Mineral Sites</del>									
			Monitored by framework number	N/A – this policy relates to the designation of specific land. It can effectively be monitored through a narrative-based review of the use of each site.									
			Trigger for Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evidence that the sites designated in MSGP53 will not be used for sand and gravel extraction</li> </ul>									
			Potential Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Review the relevance of the policy</li> </ul>									
MM103	143 - 144	Appendix 19, Table 1: Trigger Points and Potential Remedial Actions, Policy MSGP54	MSGP Policy	MSGP54 – <del>Unacceptable Areas for Mineral Working</del>									
			Monitored by framework number	CSUCP	48								
				MSGP	-								
			Trigger for Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Approval for sand and gravel extraction at locations specified by policy MSGP54</li> </ul>									
Potential Remedial Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify why the policy's requirements have not been adhered to</li> <li>Review the policy's requirements</li> </ul>												
MM104	144	Appendix 19, Table 2: Monitoring Indicators and Targets	<b>Re f</b>	<b>Topic area</b>	<b>To monitor</b>	<b>Monitoring Framework (data collection)</b>	<b>Source</b>	<b>Target</b>	<b>Target date</b>	<b>Monitors (policies)</b>	<b>Objectives</b>		
												<b>Plan</b>	<b>SA</b>
			1	Employment land and premises	Take-up of land for employment uses	Take-up of land <sup>3</sup> (ha) for employment uses, by location	Local Authority Planning	At least 70ha of land taken-up for employment uses 2010-2030	Throughout the plan period	CS1, CS6, UC1, MSGP1	2, 3	6, 10, 11	
2		Employment land supply	Supply of employment land that could be developed within the next five years, by location	Local Authority Planning	Minimum supply of 24ha of employment land able to be developed within the next five years	Throughout the plan period	CS1, CS5, CS6, UC1, MSGP1	2, 3	6, 10, 11				

<sup>3</sup> Gateshead's 2012 Employment Land Review Update, and 2018 Employment Land Review use a definition of take-up applied in Gateshead's Local Plan, and in this monitoring framework

Modification No.	Page	Policy/ Paragraph/ Figure/ Appendix	Main Modification									
			<u>3</u>		<u>Provision of digital infrastructure</u>	<u>% of premises unable to receive superfast broadband</u>	<u>Ofcom</u>		<u>Throughout the plan period</u>	<u>MSGP9</u>	<u>2, 3</u>	
			<u>3</u> <u>4</u>	<u>Housing</u>	Adaptable and accessible housing	Approvals for dwellings (units) that are required to be constructed to the general adaptable and accessible standard M4(2)	Local Authority Planning	25% of all dwellings, on housing developments of 15 or more dwellings	Throughout the plan period	CS11, MSGP11	6, 10	1, 2
			<u>4</u> <u>5</u>	<u>Housing</u>	Specialist and supported housing	Number of units provided in specialist and supported accommodation	Local Authority Housing Strategy	Increase provision of specialist and supported accommodation	Throughout the plan period	CS11, MSGP12	6, 10	1, 2
			<u>5</u> <u>6</u>		Housing space standards	Approvals for dwellings (units) that meet or exceed NDSS (or equivalent successor standards), as a proportion of total approved dwellings	Local Authority Planning	All new dwellings to be built in accordance with NDSS or equivalent successor standards,	Throughout the plan period	CS11, MSGP13	6, 10	1, 2, 4
			<u>6</u>		Housing density	<del>Proportion of dwellings approved within schemes with a density of 20 dwellings per hectare</del>	Local Authority Planning				<del>1, 6, 9, 11</del>	<del>1, 8, 9</del>
			<u>7</u>	<u>Aircraft Safety</u>	<u>Impact on aerodrome safeguarding area</u>	<u>Number of approvals contrary to advice from aerodrome safeguarding authority</u>	<u>Local Planning Authority</u>	<u>Minimise development contrary to statutory advice</u>	<u>Throughout plan period</u>		<u>9</u>	

## Appendix A:

### Main modification MM77 to Appendix 18: Policy review (Schedule of UDP policies superseded)

#### Appendix 18: Policy review (Schedule of UDP policies superseded)

<b>Policy number</b>	<b>Policy Subject</b>	<b>Superseded by</b>
<b>Introduction and General Development Policies</b>		
DC1 c	Landform, landscape and after use	MSGP 33, 34, 37,
DC1 d	Protected species	MSGP 37, 38
DC1 e	Planting and screening	MSGP 19, 25, 33
DC1 g	Energy conservation and efficiency and sustainable building	<del>MSGP 25, 29</del> <u>CSUCP CS16</u>
DC1 h	Pollution	MSGP 19, 20, 21, 22, 31
DC1 j	Substrata drainage and water quality	MSGP 31, <del>46</del> <u>47</u>
DC1 l	Waste management	<del>MSGP 48, 49</del> <u>National Planning Policy for Waste, CSUCP CS21</u>
DC1 m	Recycling	MSGP <del>48, 49, 50</del>
DC1 p	Contamination, derelict land, hazards and ground stability	MSGP 22
DC2	Residential amenity	MSGP 19
PO2	Targeted Employment and Training Obligations and Agreements (part only relating to sustainable delivery of	MSGP <del>16, 17</del> , <u>CSUCP CS13</u>
MU3	Fife Engineering, Long Rigg	Site to be deallocated on the basis of flood risk and contamination constraints
MU4	Derwentwater Road, Teams	Site is being developed
MU10	Grange Road, Ryton	Unimplemented and allocation no longer needed
MU14	Gateshead College, Durham Road	Site is being developed
MU15	Former Auction Mart Site, Crawcrook	Site has been developed

MU16	South of Pelaw Way	Allocation no longer needed - land unsuitable for housing
MU17	South of Pelaw Metro Station	Part already developed – remainder covered by proposed housing allocation MSGP 10.130
MU21	Gateshead Stadium	Allocation not needed – the whole approach to Gateshead Stadium is under review.
MU22	Bill Quay Riverside	Site to be deallocated on the basis of flood risk constraints

### Jobs Employment and Economy

JE1	Primary Employment Areas}	MSGP 2, 3, 4
JE2	Provision of Employment Land	MSGP 1
JE3	Secondary Employment Areas	MSGP 3, 4
JE4	Sustainable Economic Development	MSGP 2, 3, CSUCP_CS6, CS7 and NPPF
JE5	Tourism Development	Policy not needed/covered by NPPF/PPG and CSUCP CS8
JE6	Freight and Rail Freight Developments	MSGP 5

### Housing

H1	Rate of Housing Provision	MSGP 10, CSUCP CS10
H2	Five-Year Supply Figures	MSGP 10, CSUCP CS10
H3	Sites for New Housing	MSGP 10
H4	Windfall and Small Housing Sites (parts only)	Covered by NPPF
H5	Housing Choice	MSGP 12, CSUCP CS11
H9	Lifetime Homes	MSGP 11, 12
H10	Wheelchair Housing	MSGP 12

H12	Density	<u>MSGP 14-NPPF (paras 122 and 123)</u>
H13	Local Open Space in Housing Developments	MSGP 40, 41
H14	Neighbourhood Open Spaces In New Housing Development	MSGP 41
H15	Play Areas in Housing Developments	MSGP 40, 41
<b>Transport</b>		
T1	Requirements for New Developments	MSGP 16, <u>17</u> , CSUCP CS13
T6	River Tyne Corridor	MSGP 39
T7	Public Transport	MSGP 17, 18
T12	Park and Ride	MSGP 18
T16	Blaydon Pedestrian and Cycle Bridge	Only referred to in CSUCP para 11.15; scheme yet to materialise and no corresponding MSGP policy required.
<b>Retail and Commercial Leisure</b>		
RCL5	District and Local Centres	MSGP 7, 8, <u>CSUCP CS7</u>
RCL6	Food and Drink Uses	MSGP 4, <u>7</u> , CSUCP CS7
RCL10	Retailing in Neighbourhoods and Rural Areas	Covered in part by CSUCP Policy CS7 (2) and MSGP 8 <u>7</u>
<b>The Environment</b>		
ENV3	Character and Design	MSGP 25, <u>CSUCP CS15</u>
ENV7	Development within Conservation Areas	MSGP 26
ENV8	Demolition within Conservation Areas	MSGP 26
ENV9	Setting of Conservation Areas	MSGP 26
ENV10	Development in Gardens and Grounds in Conservation Areas	MSGP_26
ENV11	Listed Buildings	MSGP 26
ENV12	Demolition of Listed Buildings	MSGP 26
ENV14	Historic Parks and Gardens	MSGP 26

ENV15	Gibside	MSGP 27
ENV16	Ravensworth Castle	MSGP 27
ENV18	Locally Listed Buildings	MSGP 26
ENV19	Locally Listed Parks and Gardens	MSGP 26, 27
ENV21	Sites and Areas of Archaeological Importance	MSGP 28
ENV22	Sites and Areas of Archaeological Importance	MSGP 28
ENV23	Building Recording	MSGP 26, <del>27</del> , 28
ENV24	Newburn Ford Battlefield	MSGP 27
ENV25	Areas of Special Character	MSGP 24
ENV27	Greening the Urban Area	MSGP 33
ENV29	Open Space in Retail and Employment Use Developments	Policy is now superfluous
ENV38	Washed-Over Settlements within The Green Belt	MSGP 35
ENV42	Re-Use of Rural Buildings	Covered partially by NPPF
ENV44	Woodland, Tree and Hedgerow Protection Enhancement	MSGP_37
ENV45	The Great North Forest	Policy is now superfluous
ENV46	Nature Conservation - The Durham Biodiversity Action Plan	MSGP 38, <u>CSUCP CS18</u>
ENV47	Wildlife Habitats	MSGP 38, <u>CSUCP CS18</u>
ENV48	Sites of Special Scientific Interest	MSGP 38, <u>CSUCP CS18</u>
ENV49	Sites of Nature Conservation Importance	MSGP <del>33</del> , 38, <u>CSUCP CS18</u>
ENV50	Local Nature Reserves	MSGP 38, <u>CSUCP CS18</u>
ENV51	Wildlife Corridors	MSPG 33, <u>38, CSUCP CS18</u>
ENV54	Land Affected by Contamination - applications	MSGP 22
ENV55	Development Causing Contamination	MSGP 22

ENV56	Derelict Land	Partially covered by CSUCP policy CS14 - and in part is now superfluous as site at Marley Hill no longer considered a major derelict site.
ENV58	Hazardous Installations Consultation Zones	MSGP 23
ENV61	New Noise-Generating Developments - General Development	MSGP 20
ENV62	Minerals and Waste Development	MSGP <del>45</del> , <u>46</u> , <u>47</u>

<b>Community Facilities and Recreation</b>		
CFR1	Sites for Replacement Schools (part only)	<del>Site specific policy</del> <u>Policy superseded— sites complete or no longer needed</u>
CFR2	New Primary School Complex at Highfield	<del>MSGP 43</del> <u>42</u>
CFR3	General Locations For New Schools	<del>MSGP 44</del> <u>Site specific policy no longer needed</u>
CFR5	Loss of School Playing Fields to Development	MSGP 40
CFR6	Jewish and Other Minority Group Education	MSGP 44
CFR8	Social Services	<u>Site specific policy</u> <del>Policy superseded—</del> site no longer needed
CFR9	Health Care Facilities	<u>Site specific policy</u> <del>Policy superseded—</del> site no longer needed
CFR11	Community Centres	<del>MSGP 43</del> <u>Site specific policy no longer needed</u>
CFR13	Indoor Recreation – District Sports Halls	<u>Site specific policy</u> <del>Unimplemented but out of date schemes are no longer a priority</del> <u>needed</u>
CFR14	Outdoor Sports Facilities – Football	MSGP 40, 41
CFR15	Cricket, Rugby and Hockey	MSGP 40, 41
CFR17	Retention of Facilities	MSGP 40
CFR18	Former Saltwell School Site	<del>MSGP 44</del> <u>Site specific policy no longer needed</u>
CFR20	Local Open Space	MSGP 40, 41
CFR21	Neighbourhood Open Spaces	MSGP 40, 41
CFR22	Area Parks	MSGP 40, 41
CFR23	Protecting and Improving Existing Open Space	MSGP 40, <del>MSGP-41</del>
CFR25	Countryside Recreation	MSGP <del>36</del> <u>34</u> , <del>MSGP-33</del>
CFR26	Accessible Natural Greenspace	MSGP 40
CFR27	Allotments	MSGP 40
CFR28	Toddlers' Play Areas	MSGP 40, 41
CFR29	Juniors' Play Areas	MSGP 40, 41

CFR30	Teenagers' Recreation Areas	MSGP40, 41
<b>Minerals, Waste Management and Renewable Energy</b>		
MWR2	Environmental Impact	MSGP <del>45</del> <u>46</u>
MWR3	Five Year Rule	MSGP <del>45</del> <u>46</u>
MWR8	Unacceptable Areas of Working	MSGP <del>53</del> <u>46</u> , NPPF (paras <u>204-205</u> )
MWR10	Marine-Won Sand and Gravel	MSGP <del>50</del> <u>51</u>
MWR11	Use of Alternative Materials – Site Specific Levels	Policy is little used and now repeats what is set out in the NPPG Paragraph: 049 Reference ID: 28-049-20141016
MWR13	Brick Clay	<del>MSGP51</del> <u>MSGP 52, CSUCP CS20</u>
<del>MWR17</del>	<del>Need for Waste Facilities (part only)</del>	<del>MSGP 48, 49,</del>
MWR18	Existing Facilities – Landfill	MSGP <del>47</del> <u>48</u>
MWR19	Further Landfill Sites	MSGP <del>47</del> <u>48</u>
MWR20	Landfill Location Policy	MSGP <del>47</del> <u>48</u>
MWR21	Agricultural Tipping	In part by MSGP <del>47</del> <u>48</u> ; policy no longer needed
MWR22	Waste Management Facilities	MSGP <del>48</del> <u>49</u>
MWR23	Integrated Network	MSGP <del>48</del> <u>49</u>
MWR24	Waste Facilities in the Green Belt	National Planning Policy for Waste
MWR25	Incineration, Baling and Transfer Stations	MSGP <del>48</del> <u>46, 49</u>
MWR26	Recycling (part only)	MSGP <del>48,</del> <u>46, 49, 50</u>
MWR28	Provision of Facilities in New Developments	MSGP <del>49</del> <u>50</u>
MWR31	Renewable Energy Generation	MSGP 29
MWR32	Onshore Wind Resources	MSGP 29
MWR33	Renewable Energy Generation from Biomass and Waste	MSGP 29
MWR34	Hydro-Power	MSGP 29
MWR35	Micro-Renewables, Energy Efficiency and Sustainable Design	<del>MSGP 25,</del> <u>29</u> <u>CSUCP CS16</u>